MINUTES
UW-Green Bay University Committee

Present:
Greg Davis
6 November 2013
Mimi Kubsch 3:00 PM, CL 750
Ryan Martin
Steven Meyer
Previous Meeting
Christina Ortiz
30 October 2013
Kristy Aoki (Academic Staff Representative)
Bryan Vescio, chair
Heba Mohammad (Student Government Association Representative)
Guests: Provost Julia Wallace, Cliff Abbott, Paula Ganyard, Eric Hansen, Dan Schrickel, Bill Hubbard, Atife Cagler, Rajeev Bukralia

1. Minutes from the 30 October 2013 University Committee (UC) meeting were approved as distributed.
2. Provost Wallace shared two informational items. First the collaborative Engineering Tech degree was funded for $\$ 1.892$ million in grant funding to help get it started. Over 70 local businesses are has expressed support of the degree and want to serve in an advisory capacity. Currently there is a position announcement for a director of the program. There will also be 6 new faculty hires. Second, space in MAC Hall will be transformed into a Veteran Center.
3. Announcements
a. Chairperson Vescio continued the discussion of the Engineering Tech degree. He has heard concerns voiced about the way the announcement in the Log was worded. Apparently it said that students would start their degree at a University College or Technical School. The concern is that students could start at UWGreen Bay or UW-Oshkosh. Davis added that there will be multiple avenues to admission. One issue concerning the degree is the articulation with the tech schools. The tech schools are looking for block transfer and UW-Green Bay is hoping for individual course evaluation. Another issue is in regards to general education, students will need to complete general education, the question is will those courses transfer?
b. The issue of the relationship between the CWC and the Steering Committee was discussed. Sheryl VanGruensven sent Vescio an email indicating that the steering committee will dissolve at the end of this semester and that the CWC will receive requested data from the Steering Committee. Step 2 of the compensation study will be implemented next where all people who did not get compensated in the first step will be looked at.
c. Regarding the low freshman enrollment situation, Vescio mentioned that he wants to get someone from admissions to talk to the UC about what we can do to increase enrollment.
4. Planning and Budget Council. Davis will be the UC representative on the Council. UC Members generated a list of 10 names, 5 from LAS and 5 from professional studies, of faculty who may serve on the council. From this list the Chancellor will select 6 . Vescio is going to contact them to see if they are willing to serve before the list is given to the Chancellor.
5. Student representatives on governance committees. Mohammad said that there are 12 unfilled spots on governance committees. After Mohammad provides an updated list, Vescio will send out an email to all students soliciting participation.
6. Faculty Status Approvals. Requests have been made to the UC to grant 4 lecturers faculty status. All that was provided to the UC was a list of names. It was decided that the UC cannot grant faculty status without documentation justifying need for faculty status from the units. Davis cited a Senate resolution (501.05) that indicates there must be documentation/rationale from units. Abbott said he would follow up on documentation. On a related subject Davis brought up policy that contracts for lecturers can automatically renew without being reviewed. Vescio suggest that this be a future topic that the UC should consider.
7. Members of the LITC came to the UC to discuss the dissolution of the LITC and its split into two new committees the Learning Technology Collaborative Committee (LTCC) and the Library Advisory Committee (LAS). The charges to the two new committees were reviewed. It was noted that the charges contained sections on frequency of meetings. It was agreed to remove these sections from the charges and to specify the terms of the membership. There was discussion about whether to add a graduate faculty to the membership of the LTCC but it was decided to keep the composition as it currently reads. The new charge needs to be approved by Faculty Senate and the Academic Staff Committee. Bukralia suggested that we wait this month to be sure the new charges have been reviewed by the Technology Council and by the Academic Staff Committee prior to approval by the Senate. A decision was made then to not put the LITC split on the Senate agenda for November 13. It was decided that the LTCC will be a joint committee. The LAC will be a faculty governance committee.
8. Meeting with SOFAS Cliff Abbott to set Senate agenda. The Chancellor will be speaking at the next Senate meeting about the budget, it is hoped that he will speak during the last hour so new business can be discussed first.
a. Senate Agenda for November 13, 2013
i. Chancellor's report
ii. New policy on international admissions
iii. Course Leaf
iv. Faculty Rep's report
v. University Committee report
vi. Academic Staff report
vii. Student Government report
viii. Chancellor's presentation on budget

Meeting adjourned at 4:45
Respectfully submitted, Mimi Kubsch

