MINUTES UW Green Bay University Committee

Present: 24 January 2007 Scott Furlong (Chair) 3:15 p.m., CL 825

Terry O'Grady

Donna Ritch

Chris Style Previous meeting:
Dean VonDras 10 January, 2007

Kevin Roeder

Bill Curtis (Student Government Representative)

Paula Ganyard (Academic Staff representative)

Guests: Provost Sue Hammersmith; Professor Mark Everingham, Chair of the Academic Affairs Council

1) The minutes of 10 January 2007 were approved.

2) Information Exchange with Provost Sue Hammersmith

- a) The Provost expressed satisfaction with the Senate discussion on the BAS Proposal.
- b) Copies of the UW policy on reporting sick leave/collegial coverage was disseminated and discussed.
- c) The merits and potential areas for further consideration of the General Education proposals were discussed.

3) Continuing Business

- a) The Chair reported that the student body is being surveyed regarding a potentially new campus calendar/schedule.
- b) The UC discussed the 53.11 code change and agreed to engage the Provost in further discussion on this matter. Specifically, UC members are interested in better understanding the duties that will be associated with the Associate Dean position. The UC also discussed the possibility of clarifying code.
- c) The UC had a lengthy discussion with Mark Everingham of the AAC regarding: (1) course approvals/disapprovals; (2) the meaning of the word "recommend"; and, (3) to learn more about how a course recommendation that is not favorable, is handled. Mark explained the process the AAC uses to review new courses as well as how the process works after a recommendation is made by the AAC. He went on to explain that the AAC has been instructed to, and now uses, the wording "positive" or "negative" recommendation to reflect committee decision-making regarding the review of a new course. In discussing a negative course recommendation, the UC questioned if a formal rebuttal process by the Unit proposing the course and in responding to the AAC's negative recommendation would be helpful, especially when two governance groups disagree about whether a new course should or should not be implemented.

4) New Business

Prior to his departure, Mark indicated that the AAC did not review a second version (or a revised version) of the BAS Proposal and wanted to clear up any misunderstanding that may exist about the AAC having done so.

The next UC meeting will be January 31 at 3:15 p.m.

The meeting adjourned at 5:15 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Kevin Roeder, secretary pro tempore