AGENDA

UW-GREEN BAY FACULTY SENATE MEETING NO. 5
Wednesday, December 3, 2014
Phoenix C, University Union, 3:00 p.m.
Presiding Officer: John Lyon, Speaker
Parliamentarian: Clifford Abbott

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF FACULTY SENATE MEETING NO. 4
   November 12, 2014 [page 2]

3. CHANCELLOR’S REPORT

4. NEW BUSINESS
   a. Realignment of Summer Terms [page 6]
      Presented by Steve Meyer

   b. Request for future business

5. OTHER REPORTS
   a. Academic Affairs Council report [page 7]
   b. University Committee Report - Presented by Steve Meyer
   c. Academic Staff Report – Presented by Kristy Aoki
   d. Student Government Report - Presented by Vanya Koepke

6. OPEN FORUM – SWOT Analysis of UW-System

7. ADJOURNMENT
MINUTES 2014-2015
UW-GREEN BAY FACULTY SENATE MEETING NO. 4
Wednesday, November 12, 2014
Alumni Rooms, University Union

Presiding Officer: John Lyon, Speaker of the Senate
Parliamentarian: Clifford Abbott

PRESENT: Greg Aldrete (HUS), Andrew Austin (DJS), Dallas Blaney (PEA), Toni Damkoehler (AND), Hernan Fernandez-Meardi (HUS), Clifton Ganyard (HUS-UC), Doreen Higgins (SOCW), Jenell Holstead (HUD), Ghadir Ishqaidef (BUA), Mimi Kubsch (NUR-UC), Jim Loebl (BUA), Kaoime Malloy (Theatre and Dance), Ryan Martin (HUD), Michael McIntire (NAS), Steve Meyer (NAS-UC), Gary Miller (Chancellor, ex officio), Cristina Ortiz (HUS-UC), Uwe Pott (HUB), Courtney Sherman (MUS), Alison Stehlik (AND), Brian Sutton (HUS), Patricia Terry (NAS), Christine Vandenhouten (NUR), Kristin Vespia (HUD-UC), Amy Wolf (NAS).

NOT PRESENT: Adolfo Garcia (ICS), Ray Hutchison (URS), Arthur Lacey (EDU), Debra Pearson (HUB), Linda Tabers-Kwak (EDU)

REPRESENTATIVES: Kristin Aoki, Academic Staff; Vanya Koepke, Student Government

GUESTS: Scott Furlong, Sue Mattison, Lucy Arendt, Greg Davis, Reed Heintzkill

1. CALL TO ORDER.
Speaker Lyon managed to gavel the meeting to order promptly at 3 p.m. sans gavel.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES for Faculty Senate Meeting No. 3, October 22, 2014.
The Speaker asked for corrections and, hearing none, declared the minutes accepted.

3. CHANCELLOR’S REPORT.
The Speaker reminded everyone of the Chancellor’s installation ceremony in a few days and took the opportunity while introducing the Chancellor to welcome him formally to the Senate. There was applause. The Chancellor appreciated the gesture, reminded the Senate of quite a few activities coming up and then began his report.
The Invent the Future process is active and the Chancellor thanked those involved for their extra efforts. He mentioned that he be will finding ways to insert himself into the process as he thinks appropriate.
On November 1 an enrollment summit was held with a number of fruitful ideas collected. Jennifer Jones will be leading the short term efforts to increase applications. There was some side discussion of whether her appropriate informal title should be czar, czarina, or czarette. The women in the group did not comment. The Chancellor also said that he will be talking with the University Committee about budget models for enrollment and offered to share similar talks, either from him or someone in his office, to other groups on campus if desired. The Chancellor announced that he had been approached by representatives from the AFT union to set up a meet and confer process. He said that he had been advised by no fewer than a dozen UW-System lawyers, apparently all at the same time, that this was not appropriate and that union members should exploit governance processes instead. He himself stated his commitment to transparency. Provost Fritz will be on campus soon and the Chancellor will be sharing a targeted agenda for him with the UC soon. Finally, he will be conferring with other campuses on how they handle holiday schedules (the day after Thanksgiving and certain days right around Christmas) since only the Governor, not the Chancellor, has the authority to close the campus for those days. The Chancellor then took a question on his stance on differential tuition, particularly with respect to engineering. He responded that, although there was a System moratorium at the moment, he saw merit in the concept of differential tuition (charging different tuition for different programs) as long as concerns about access can be addressed. With no further questions, the Chancellor’s report was concluded.

4. OLD BUSINESS
a. Code change for Legislative Affairs Committee UC Chair Meyer presented the second reading of this proposal to add members to the Legislative Affairs Committee. He noted some slight differences from the first reading about who appoints student members. The Speaker then asked for a motion. Senator Meyer (Senator Ortiz second) moved adoption. The only discussion concerned the requirement that some of the faculty members on the LAC must be members of the Senate and how to coordinate differences in terms. The SOFAS noted that in the past “member of the Senate” had been interpreted generously enough to include incoming and outgoing as well as current members of the Senate. Satisfied that the proposal’s language was not going to throttle its intent, the Senators voted approval (23-0-0).

b. Code change for Learning Technology Collaborative Committee UC Chair Meyer rebounded to the podium to present the second reading of this proposal to add University Staff members to the LTCC. Again there was a slight change to identify how student members are appointed. He also responded to a point raised at the last Senate meeting about the number of student members. Conversations with SGA suggested satisfaction with having just a single student member. Senator Terry (Senator Kubsch second) moved adoption and with no discussion the Senate approved (24-0-0). The Senate was reminded that the change does not take place until the other governance groups (Academic Staff and University Staff) approved the same proposal.
5. NEW BUSINESS
a. Resolution on Granting of degrees. Senator Loebl (Senator Ishqaidef second) moved approval of this resolution, which is partly pro forma and partly a reminder of the Faculty’s role in conferring degrees. The Senate voted to approve (24-0-0).

b. Request for future business. The Senate, somewhat like many students at this point in the semester, seemed less given to boisterous debate today and offered no issues.

6. OTHER REPORTS
a. Academic Affairs Report. A late addition was made to the agenda incorporating this written report. There was no discussion of it.

b. University Committee Report. UC Chair Meyer reported on five issues occupying the attention of the UC these days: the Code changes (just passed) to accommodate University Staff in joint governance committees; evaluation of administrators (the process, the selection of key individuals, and the extent of the evaluation); the position of Faculty Rep to System (responsibilities, who, how long, compensation); appropriate action on library support (a few senators reported on their unit discussions – Chair Meyer asked if a resolution of support would be appropriate and Chancellor Miller suggested a concrete proposal for UPIC might be more practical); and finally the issue of realigning summer terms (information will be circulated to senators next week for possible endorsement at the next Senate meeting).

c. Faculty Rep Report. In a twinkling of his eye UC Chair Meyer transformed into Faculty Rep Meyer and continued listing issues under discussion in that venue. A meeting with the leadership of the Regents led to the view that progress on compensation “looks gloomy.” Legislators are seeing System’s request for $95 million for the next budget as a “tough sell.” There were new promises that faculty may soon have the option of receiving their 9 month pay over 12 months, although convincing faculty that it will actually happen may also be a tough sell. The fear that some campuses may be lowering admission standards to combat enrollment declines was countered with the claim that as a System the haves should be helping out the have-nots. The UC may want to consider if a tolerance of extreme cynicism should be a requirement of any prospective Faculty Rep.

d. Academic Staff Report. Kristy Aoki reported that the Academic Staff Committee was also discussing the role of Academic Staff Rep to System. The value of a multiple-year term makes sense but the appropriate compensation presents a problem. The ASC has also been discussing
administrator evaluations and calendar issues. Nominations for System’s Academic Staff Excellence Award are invited.

e. Student Government Report. SGA President Koepke reported discussion on a plan to restore a bit of the Eco-U reputation with a proposal to replace paper announcements with electronic screens. There have been efforts to coordinate with other campuses some student support for System’s budget requests – the $95 million “tough sell.” Student engagement in SGA is high. They now have 30 senators and a full student court. He applauded the recent meet and greet session the Chancellor held for students and announced an address on the state of student government at 5 p.m. on December 3 in the Christie Theatre.

7. ADJOURNMENT
Speaker Lyon had the glow of efficiency as he announced that business had been concluded and the Senate was adjourned at 3:45 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Clifford Abbott, Secretary of the Faculty and Academic Staff
### Summer Schedule Proposal

#### Current Summer Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First 4-week session (1079)</th>
<th>Second 4-week session (478)</th>
<th>Third 4-week session (7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX</td>
<td>6-week session (861)</td>
<td>XXXXXXXX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX</td>
<td>8-week session (257)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Degree’s 10-week summer online session (532)</td>
<td></td>
<td>XXXXXXXX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Proposed Summer Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First 4-week session</th>
<th>XXXXXXXX</th>
<th>Second 4-week session</th>
<th>XXXXXXXX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First 6-week session</td>
<td></td>
<td>Second 6-week session</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Degree’s 12-week online session</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Faculty Senate New Business 4a  12/3/2014
Academic Affairs Council Report

The following actions were taken by the AAC Council:

CHEM 102 – New course – approved
EN SC 337- Prerequisite change – approved
ENG TECH 206 – New course - approved
HUM DEV MINOR – Program change - approved