1. Reviewed the draft of the academic integrity report for the self-study (previously distributed). A number of editorial changes were recommended. Generally the working group felt that the report was accurate and comprehensive. There was some discussion of issues such as the academic advising process and how well it worked, but the consensus was that any concerns along those lines were not specific to student-athletes.

2. The working group agreed that completion of the evaluative section should wait until after a period of public input on the evidence presented.

3. The group agreed that the report was ready to be presented to the Steering Committee.

Submitted by Dean Rodeheaver