Four attachments are attached with the Self-Study Report: (1) a series of tables, prepared by the Office of Institutional Research and Assessment; (2) the program's current official requirements as published in the Undergraduate Catalog; (3) the Assessment of Student Learning Worksheet; and (4) the Academic Affairs Council and dean's conclusions and recommendations from the program's last review.
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## Section I. Mission Statement and Program Objectives.

The University of Wisconsin-Green Bay Professional Program in Education, in collaboration with the University of Wisconsin System and our colleagues in local schools, agencies, institutions, and organizations, seeks to prepare candidates for the teaching profession by

- Cultivating the knowledge, skills, and dispositions necessary to improve the learning of all students through the provision of relevant learning experiences that represent the diverse perspectives of our multicultural society.
- Nurturing a profound respect for the dignity and diversity of the all learners.
- Guiding the development of critical thinking, reflective practice and data based decision-making.

The development of our program has been framed by the select mission of the University of Wisconsin- Green Bay, which is committed to an interdisciplinary, problem-focused education and an interchange of ideas and strategies between the University and the community, reflecting the desire to educate people and improve the human condition inherent in the University of Wisconsin System and mission.

To accomplish our goals, we have designed a high quality, standards-based teacher education program that prepares candidates to serve effectively the educational needs of the region within the context of the larger society. Our program builds upon the Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) Core Teaching and is characterized by:

- A shared vision of teaching excellence defined within a strong relationship with
faculty across the university and the PK-12 schools.
- A rigorous core curriculum, including field experiences, with a well-defined scope and sequence based on identified standards for practice and performance.
- A strong focus on content and pedagogy.
- A commitment to the integration of technology throughout the curriculum as an essential tool for learning in the 21st Century.
- The provision of extensive and intensive field-based experiences working directly with children and families from diverse background.
- An environment that promotes and supports inquiry as the basis for authentic learning.
- Opportunities to demonstrate proficiency through performance-based assessments with clearly defined rubrics and identified timelines.
- A focus and commitment to effective communication and ethical decisionmaking.


## Section II. Program Requirements.

- Our program has several notable strengths that help us to prepare the teachers of tomorrow.
- We have a strong emphasis on field-based study. A good portion of our current curriculum takes place in the schools and classrooms. This focus on hands-on learning helps us build and nurture our strong and ongoing school relationships.
- The majority of our faculty have current licensure and certification in the areas in which they advise, teach, and oversee students.
- We utilize a sophisticated Electronic Portfolio Assessment mechanism to assure that students demonstrate competency. We were an early leader in System to do so.
- We are able to better prepare teachers by using local schools for our candidacy block courses.
- We continue efforts to match efficient and appropriate performance assessments that correlate with the standards that drive our program.
- We continue our efforts to better prepare our students to meet the changing student demographic in Green Bay, and throughout Northeast Wisconsin.


## Requirements for the Major*

## Supporting Courses, 22 credits

EDUC 203 Environmental Education in K-12 Schools, 2 credits
EDUC 206 Cultural Images in Materials for Children and Adolescents 3 credits
EDUC 208 Special Topics: Phuture Phoenix Field Experience, 3 credits
EDUC 280 Instructional Technologies: Evaluation, Production and Application, 3 credits
EDUC 290 Introduction to Educational Inquiry, 5 credits
MATH 281Conceptual Foundations of Elementary Mathematics I, 3 credits
MATH 282 Conceptual Foundations of Elementary Mathematics II, 3 credits
Upper-Level Courses, 30 credits
EDUC 302 Teaching Social Studies in the Elementary and Middle Schools, 3 credits EDUC 303

Teaching Art in the Elementary and Middle Schools, 3 credits
EDUC 307 Teaching Reading in the Elementary and Middle Schools, 3 credits
EDUC 309 Teaching Language Arts in the Elementary and Middle Schools, 3 credits
EDUC 324 Teaching Mathematics in the Elementary and Middle Schools, 3 credits
EDUC 325 Teaching Science in the Elementary and Middle Schools, 3 credits
EDUC 326 Music Movement, and Core Arts Pedagogy
EDUC 340 Supporting Learning and Behavior in the Classroom, 3 credits
EDUC 361 Introduction to the Art and Science of Teaching, 3 credits
EDUC 421 Literacy and Language Development in Young Children, 3 credits

## Additional Upper Requirements for Early Childhood License (0-11) - 12 credits

EDUC 333 Observation and Assessment of Young Children, 3 credits
EDUC 352 Social and Family Influences on Early Development and Learning, 3 credits
EDUC 441 Infants and Toddlers: History, Philosophy, and Current Programs, 3 credits
EDUC 443 Teaching Kindergarten: The Integrated Curriculum. 3 credits

## Requirements for the Minor*

Supporting Courses, 17 credits
EDUC 206 Cultural Images in Materials for Children and Adolescents, 3 credits
EDUC 280 Instructional Technologies: Evaluation, Production and Application, 3 credits
EDUC 290 Introduction to Educational Inquiry, 5 credits
EDUC 208 Special Topics: Phuture Phoenix Field Experience, 3 credits
MATH 101 Intermediate Algebra, 3 credits (This requirement can be waived with a Wisconsin
Mathematics Placement test score or MATH 104 or greater.)
Upper-Level Courses, 15 credits
EDUC 340 Supporting Learning and Behavior in the Classroom, 3 credits
EDUC 361 Introduction to the Art and Science of Teaching, 3 credits
EDUC 422 Reading in the Content Areas, 3 credits
EDUC 452 Principles of Middle Level Education, 3 credits
Choose one of these courses as appropriate:
EDUC 310 Teaching Communication Arts in the Middle and Secondary Schools, 3 credits
EDUC 311 Teaching Foreign Languages, 3 credits (Course may be part of major requirement in
French, German, and Spanish)
EDUC 312 Teaching Social Studies in the Middle and Secondary Schools, 3 credits
EDUC 313 Teaching Mathematics in the Middle and Secondary Schools, 3 credits
EDUC 314 Teaching Science in the Middle and Secondary Schools, 3 credits
EDUC 316 Teaching Art in the Middle and Secondary Schools, 3 credits
EDUC 317 Teaching Music in the Middle and Secondary Schools, 3 credits
EDUC 319 Adolescent Literature in Middle and Secondary School Reading, 3 credits
EDUC 334 Teaching General Music in the Elementary and Middle Schools, 3 credits

## Requirements for Add-on ESL/Bilingual Licensure

EDUC 315 Teaching English as a Second Language, 3 credits
EDUC 483 Selected Topics: Current Trends in ESL/Bilingual Education

EDUC 483 Selected Topics: Bilingual Practicum
*The Education Program has no electives. All courses are required by the DPI for Licensure.

## Section III. Developments and Accomplishments Since Last Review.

There have been a number of notable developments and accomplishments in our program since our last review. These include the following:

## UW-System Academic Award

2011 Recipient of University of Wisconsin System's Board of Regents Teaching Excellence Award

## Department of Public Instruction Annual (and 5-year) Reviews

With the advent of PI34, The DPI now conducts yearly reviews and a more extensive review every 5 years. The Education Department has consistently passed all reviews.

## 4K Nature-Based Program

UWGB partnered with the Green Bay Area Public School district and the Bay Beach Wildlife Sanctuary to develop a nature-based 4K program. Each year 40 students are enrolled. UWGB pre-service teachers seeking an Ages 0-11 teaching license have a filed placement in this program during their environmental education course (EDUC 203). The 4 K program is planning to double in size for the 2017-18 school year due to heavy demand from parents and the continuing success of the program.

## Education/NWTC Collaboration

Developed and implemented a $2+2$ Articulation Agreement with NWTC leading to teacher licensure.

## Phuture Phoenix Program to include $8^{\text {th }}$ graders.

Each fall, Phuture Phoenix invites over 15005 th graders to visit the UW-Green Bay campus. In Spring, 2016, Phuture Phoenix invited $8^{\text {th }}$ graders for a campus visit for the first time. The plan is to continue and expand the spring visit of $8^{\text {th }}$ graders.

## First Nations Doctorate in Education

Education' s Center for First Nations Studies had a Doctorate of Education program in First Nations Education approved by the State. Fall, 2018 is the intended date for classes to begin.

## Add-on ESL/Bilingual Licensure.

The new ESL/Bilingual licensure program approved by the Department of Public Instruction (DPI) began in Fall, 2016.

## Birth to Age 11 Early Childhood Certification/Credit Reduction

Courses were added to the existing Birth to Age 8 license program to increase licensure to age
11. Overall, however, the number of credits for Early Childhood (EC) license was reduced by 6
credits. The approval by the Department of Public Instruction allowed for an additional license option for students.

## Music, Art, and Physical Education for Majors

Elementary Music Methods (3 credits), Art Methods (3 credits) and Health and Physical Education Methods (3 credits) were all rolled into a new 3-credit course, Music, Movement and Core Arts Pedagogy.

## Art for Minors

Teaching Art in the Middle School and Teaching Art in the Secondary School were 2 separate courses. They were combined to expedite progression for Art Education Minors and to deal with periodicity and enrollment issues.

## Environmental Science Licensure

A license in Environment Science with the completion of a minor was approved by the DPI.

## Math Block

Math 281, 281 and EDUC 324 now are taught as a "block". Each course is a 3-credit course. The courses are taught back-to-back by the same instructor and have a substantial field component.

## EDUC 295/208

EDUC 295 was changed to EDUC 208. However, a section of 295 Special Topics remains. The special topic is Issues Related to Hispanic learners.

## Online Courses

The department has added four online courses: Education 206 Culture Images in Materials for Children and Adolescents, EDUC 315 Teaching English as a Second Language, EDUC 340 Supporting and Learning Behavior in the Classroom, and EDUC 441 Infants \& Toddlers: History, Philosophy \& Current Programs.

## Foundations of Reading Test (FoRT)

The Foundations of Reading test includes:

- 100 multiple-choice items, each of which assesses knowledge or skills related to one of three major areas of reading instruction named in the test's subareas (Foundations of Reading Development, Development of Reading Comprehension, and Reading Assessment and Instruction).
- 2 open-response (i.e., essay) items that require examinees to apply their knowledge and understanding of content related to two or more of these areas.

Wisconsin has elected to tie the FoRT to licensure; "no pass, no license".

Beginning on January 31, 2014, candidates in Wisconsin applying for an initial teaching license in grades Kindergarten through 5 or special education, or for a license as a reading teacher or reading specialist, as listed below, are required to take and pass the Foundations of Reading test.

## Creation of EDUC 421 Course*

EDUC 421. Literacy and Language Development in Young Children. 3 Credits.
Acquisition of reading skills and development of language in preschool through primary grades; analysis of instructional and diagnostic strategies for listening and reading comprehension, vocabulary development, word identification strategies and approaches to beginning reading.
*EDUC 421 was created to ensure the teaching of reading to early learners.
EdTPA (Education Teacher Performance Assessment) *
edTPA is a performance-based, subject-specific assessment and support system used by teacher preparation programs throughout the United States to emphasize, measure and support the skills and knowledge that all teachers need from Day 1 in the classroom. For each handbook field, the placement is a Pre-Kindergarten to 12th grade classroom. edTPA is a subject-specific assessment that includes versions for 27 teaching fields. The assessment features a common architecture focused on three tasks: Planning, Instruction, and Assessment.

Wisconsin has elected to tie edTPA to licensure; "no pass, no license".
*Student teachers have a 2 credit Seminar requirement. With the advent of the EdTPA exam, additional requirements have been added to the seminars for the purpose of preparing students for the edTPA.
edTPA Lab

An edTPA Support Lab was added for students. The lab consists of both computers and camera/video equipment.

## Cuernavaca, Mexico Education Program

The University of Wisconsin -Green Bay /Education Department provides an opportunity for students (and teachers) to travel to Cuernavaca, Mexico to seek an educational experience beyond classroom walls. The program has several purposes: The first is to provide a rich introduction to Mexico, its culture, language and people. The second is to study Spanish in collaboration with the Spanish Language Institute (ASLI). The third purpose is to learn about the Mexican educational system through guest speakers and school/ classroom visits. As a result of the Study Abroad program, a student teaching program was developed. Over 50 students have now student taught Mexico.

## International and Out-of-State Student Teaching

In addition to the specific student teaching program in Cuernavaca, Mexico, students can student teach literally anywhere in the U.S. and the world. Students have been placed in many different states as well as countries. We have had students in such places as Thailand, New Zealand, France, Scotland, etc.

## $4 K$ on Campus

After two years, the 4 K partnership with the Green Bay District that created a 4 K classroom on campus was terminated due to lack of space on campus to continue the program. However, a UWGB Education Department partnership with the Green Bay Area Public School District and the Wildlife Sanctuary led to a 4K nature-based program at the Wildlife Sanctuary.

## Institute for Learning Partnership (ILP)

The Institute for Learning Partnership was closed in 2015. The closure of the Institute creates a large void for area educators.

## Section IV. Program's Vision for Future Development.

The faculty and staff have identified several areas to target for the future. These include the following, which serve as major goals for our next seven years:

## Alternative Delivery of Curriculum

This would include more online offerings and use of different modes of delivery in regard to time and location.

## Increase Student Diversity

This will be a major area of focus for the future. The onset of Phuture Phoenix high school graduates should likely positively impact this area. In addition, EDUC 295 geared to Hispanic learners should increase enrollment of this group.

## Development of Additional Licensure Area

Work has begun on a new licensure certification, Business Education. The Education Department is working with the Business Program and with the Department of Public Instruction in constructing and pursuing this license.

## Continued International Opportunities

This will include both student teaching and field setting opportunities for students pursuing teaching certification.

## Curriculum Modifications

The Education major and minor requirements as well as the requirements for all teaching licenses have been thoroughly reviewed and several modifications made. Several new courses have been developed and all students are required to complete a block of courses at the candidacy before full admission. EDUC 421 was added to the Literacy Block (EDUC

307 and EDUC 309) to create a 9-credit Literacy Block which students typically take after the initial Candidacy Block.

## Procurement of Resources

Three tenure-track faculty positions were filled since the last review. However, two faculty positions were lost in the 2015/16 academic year due to retirements and another vacancy occurred recently (September 1) because a faculty member became the Associate Dean for the College of Health, Education and Social Welfare.
One of the positions has been eliminated and we are waiting on final word as of this writing if we can begin job searches for the two others.

## Program Expansion

The Program has no plans to expand its on-campus program enrollment at this time. We experienced a very large budget cut for the 2015/2016 academic year and do not foresee increases in current budget funding. Additionally, the loss of 2.75 faculty positions makes it impossible to grow.

## Faculty and Staff Development

Faculty are encouraged to participate in campus and system-based professional development activities. Grant monies from the Office of Outreach and Extension (typically $\$ 7500-\$ 10,000$ ) have been eliminated. We currently have no monies for staff development; therefore, we will seek alternative funding possibilities.

## Student Advising

Our full-time academic staff member works advising to all individuals interested in pursuing a teaching license. After students are fully admitted to the program they are assigned two advisors; an Education program faculty member and a faculty member who represents the non-education major or minor. This practice will continue.

## Section V. Summary and Concluding Statement

In the last review, the Academic Affairs Council made recommendations. The recommendation in (italics) is followed by the action taken or explanation given by the Education Department.

The mission statement for Education refers to 'Cultivating the knowledge, skills and disposition necessary... and while in this review the term disposition is explained, it may be wise to consider a different word within the context of the mission statement.

- The use of the term "Disposition" was explained as acknowledged. However, changing it is not an option as it is a term used statewide. "Knowledge, Skills, and Disposition" are the terms used in the inTASC Standards which have been adopted by the state.

We recommend continued and increased communication about Education Program updates, issues, and changes to students and the program chairs outside of Education in areas that have students who minor in Education.

- Attempts have been made to meet with Academic Chair across campus have failed. It is something we can continue to pursue.

The AAC requested additional clarification on the role of ESL and ELL and the direction that the Education Program is heading in. The response was that ELL/bilingual certification is the direction the program is heading due to the huge change in demographic in K-12 students in Green Bay and the surrounding area and the need for teachers who speak fluent Spanish and other languages. The Education will need to clean up references to ESL within its courses or at or least clarify the definitions within the curriculum.

- As stated earlier in this report, the ESL/Bilingual certification is now in place and courses are being offered. But to address the concern regarding the use of ESL and ELL; ESL is the PROGRAM and ELL (English Language Learner) is the STUDENT who is in an ESL program.

The two-year old Green Bay Public School and UWGB 4K partnership that is currently housed at the Ecumenical Center is a good beginning to bringing observation and teaching opportunities to Education students. The AAC hopes that a suitable on-campus setting can be found.

- As addressed earlier in this report, the 4-K Green Bay Public School and UWGB partnership terminated due to the program not being able to find a location on campus. However, a UWGB Education Department partnership with the Green Bay Area Public School District and the Wildlife Sanctuary led to a 4K nature-based program at the Wildlife Sanctuary.

The AAC recommends that Education, in its next program review, provide a written summary of the data tables that were provided and that the Education Program be more pro-active in requesting specific data (for example to find out more about at-risk areas in need of teachers, such as for math and science or the ask for more data to support the move to ELL as opposed to ESL)

- A narrative discussion of data tables is included in this review report. Data from our annual Title II report to the state include numbers of majors and minors and provide the department specifics as to science, math, and other high need areas.

In addition to the recommendation from the AAC, Derryl Block, Interim Dean, College of Professional and Graduate Studies made recommendations as well. Her recommendation is in italics and the response follows.

The Program should develop a plan for offering a portion of the program.in an online or hybrid format. Additional online and alternatively scheduled offerings will benefit students.

- Four online courses have been developed and implemented.

Due to the increasing need and demand for teachers who speak Spanish, the Program should evaluate and begin planning the development of an English Language Learner (ELL)/bilingual licensure area.

- An ESL/Bilingual program has been approved by the DPI and courses started in Fall 2015.

The Program should plan to increase the number of students and faculty who speak Spanish and/or are members of other underrepresented groups.

- Currently we have three Hispanic students in the program. Three faculty members, one Unclassified Staff member, and one student worker speak Spanish. Our two most recent faculty hires are from Africa and Mexico.

The Program should consider development of licensure programs in high need areas (i.e., Special Education, Science, and Mathematics).

- We have always licensed both in Science and Mathematics.

The Program should increase international opportunities including student teaching and field setting opportunities.

- Each year, promotional efforts are in place to have students participate in the January Study Abroad program to Mexico and to encourage students to studentteach in Cuernavaca, Mexico. Since the last Program Review, 52 students have student-taught in Cuernavaca, Mexico and 67 student participated in the January program.

Due to the increasing need and demand for teachers who speak Spanish, the Program should continue to strengthen its encouragement of development of Spanish proficiency in its students.

- This recommendation is difficult to address as while we do encourage student to study Spanish, we do not offer Spanish classes. In addition, our students typically come to us as Juniors and Seniors with their majors and minors set.

It is recommended that the Professional Program in Education should develop an Advisory Council to give input into curricular content and processes.

- Although attempts have been made to Academic Chairs from the units in which
we certify, there has been little or no Interest in meeting.

The Program should change or clarify references to English as a Second Language (ESL) in courses.

- As discussed earlier, ESL is the program and the students are ELL's.

The Program should continue to increase partnerships with the local school district, such as the K4 program and/or development of a specific partnership agreement with one or more schools for intensive training/experiences.

- As noted earlier in this report, the 4-K Program no longer is held on campus as the university could not find a space for the program.

The Program should clarify assessment criteria and processes, including those involving the electronic portfolio.

- Assessment is addressed in Attachment 3 of this document.

The Professional Program in Education should communicate with the students and faculty in areas that have students who frequently major and minor in Education.

- As a department, we consult with our students each semester advising in all areas.

The Program should provide a written summary of data tables provided in its next Program Review.

- A summary of particular data is provided in this document.

The Professional Program in Education should continue to encourage all faculty and staff to be active in scholarship and service.

- As a Professional Program, faculty and staff are active in both scholarship and service to the university, the city, state. and internationally.


## Summary

Since the last Program Review, the Professional Program in Education has undergone many changes; all to better offer a quality and more streamlined program to students. Our students are being hired both locally and state-wide. The Institutional decision to close both the on-campus 4-K program and the Institute for Learning Partnership, however, impacted the Department itself, which inadvertently impacted students, partnership schools, and the education community in our area.
The severe budget cuts and the loss of 2.75 faculty members have seriously impacted the program. Since we are regulated by the Department of Public Instruction (DPI) all coursework is mandated and thus eliminating courses is not possible.

## General Concluding Statement

The faculty and staff of the Professional Program in Education look forward to our continued efforts to help produce quality teachers to serve Northeast Wisconsin and beyond. We will continue to strengthen the program. This includes growing our partnerships with our area schools and districts as well as seeking grants and other outside funding sources

## Attachment 1: Tables prepared by the Office of Institutional Research and Assessment

- $\quad$ Since Act 10, (February, 2011) enrollment in Education has decreased from 217 in Fall 2012 to 173 in Fall 2015.
- $\quad$ Student Survey responses indicate that $86 \%$ of Education graduates are employed full time and $12 \%$ part-time compared to $79 \%$ and $11 \%$ for UWGB overall. Eight-eight percent (88\%) of Education graduates minimally need a Bachelor's degree compared to 58\% of UWGB overall.
- Sixty-five percent (65\%) of Education graduates plan to seek a Master's degree compared to $44 \%$ of UWGB overall. However, only $2 \%$ of Education graduates plan to seek a doctoral degree compared to $11 \%$ of UWGB overall.
- Education graduates are very satisfied or satisfied with their jobs (90\%) compared to UWGB overall (74\%).
- Education graduates felt that their job relates to their major (80\%) as compared to UWGB overall (48\%). Thirty-three percent (33\%) of Education graduates have an income in the $\$ 30,000-35,999$ range compared to $18 \%$ of UWGB overall. Twenty-five percent ( $25 \%$ ) of Education students' income fell in the $\$ 36,000-\$ 39,999$ range compared to $12 \%$ for UWGB overall. However, the $\$ 40,000$ income range for Education graduates is two percent lower than UWGB overall ( $15 \%$ vs $17 \%$. And for salaries in the $\$ 50,000$ range or higher, UWGB's overall percentage is $22 \%$ compared to Education's $2 \%$.

Other survey data reveal no significant mean difference between the university and education data to raise concern.

## Academic Plan: Education

Institutional Research - Run date: 23FEB2016

|  | Fall Headcounts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2009 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
| Declared Majors, end of term | 150 | 192 | 157 | 142 | 136 | 139 | 119 |
| Declared Minors, end of term | 89 | 120 | 82 | 75 | 71 | 72 | 54 |


|  | Fall Declared Majors - Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  |
| Female | 13 6 | 91 | 16 1 | 84 $\%$ | 12 8 | 82 | $\begin{array}{r}11 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | 12 1 | 89 | 12 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | 10 3 | 87 $\%$ |
| Minority | 2 | 1\% | 4 | 2\% | 10 | 6\% | 10 | 7\% | 6 | 4\% | 5 | 4\% | 4 | 3\% |
| Age 26 or older | 22 | 15 | 28 | 15 | 22 | 14 | 13 | 9\% | 14 | 10 | 8 | 6\% | 10 | 8\% |
| Location of HS: Brown County | 33 | 22 | 46 | 24 | 42 | 27 | 46 | 32 | 37 | 27 | 27 | 19 $\%$ | 34 | 29 |
| Location of HS: <br> Wisconsin | 13 9 | $\begin{gathered} 93 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 17 6 | 92 | 14 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | 13 5 | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 13 0 | 96 | 13 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | 88 |
| Attending Full Time | 14 3 | 95 | 18 7 | 97 | 14 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | 13 6 | $\begin{gathered} 96 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r}12 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 94 | 13 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | 11 6 | 97 $\%$ |
| Freshmen | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Sophomore <br> s | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 1\% | 2 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Juniors | 24 | 16 | 47 | 24 $\%$ | 22 | 14 $\%$ | 20 | 14 | 15 | 11 | 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 12 |
| Seniors | 12 4 | 83 $\%$ | 14 2 | 74 $\%$ | 13 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | 12 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | 11 7 | 86 $\%$ | 11 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | 10 3 | 87 $\%$ |


|  | Fall Declared Majors - Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
| Average HS Cumulative G.P.A. | 3.56 | 3.50 | 3.45 | 3.40 | 3.47 | 3.45 | 3.43 |
| Average ACT Composite Score | 23.0 | 22.8 | 22.4 | 22.3 | 22.6 | 22.6 | 22.2 |
| Average ACT Reading Score | 23.5 | 23.1 | 22.5 | 22.2 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 21.9 |
| Average ACT English Score | 22.7 | 22.5 | 22.3 | 22.1 | 22.9 | 23.0 | 21.9 |
| Average ACT Math Score | 22.8 | 22.5 | 22.1 | 22.3 | 22.2 | 22.4 | 22.3 |
| Average ACT Science Score | 22.7 | 22.8 | 22.5 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 22.3 | 22.4 |

## Academic Plan: Education

Institutional Research - Run date: 23FEB2016

|  | Fall Declared Majors - Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2009 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
| Percent started as Freshmen | $48 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Percent started as Transfers | $52 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| Percent with prior AA degree | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Percent with prior BA degree | $15 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ |


|  | Calendar Year Headcounts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2009 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Graduated Majors (May, Aug. <br> \& Dec.) | 61 | 78 | 68 | 90 | 64 | 87 | 57 |
| Graduated Minors (May, Aug. <br> \& Dec.) | 47 | 40 | 42 | 43 | 40 | 34 | 39 |


|  | Characteristics of Graduated Majors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  |
| Graduates who are... Women | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Students of Color | 2 | $3 \%$ | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 4\% | 4 | 6\% | 6 | 7\% | 2 | 4\% |
| ... Over 26 Years Old | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 20 $\%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | 1 3 | 23 $\%$ |
| Graduate s earning Degree Honors | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |


|  | Characteristics of Graduated Majors |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2009 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
| Average Credits Completed <br> Anywhere | 154 | 158 | 156 | 154 | 150 | 153 | 151 |
| Average Credits Completed at <br> UWGB | 127 | 129 | 128 | 125 | 120 | 126 | 116 |
| Average Cum GPA for <br> Graduates | 3.65 | 3.69 | 3.70 | 3.64 | 3.62 | 3.64 | 3.68 |

## Academic Subject: EDUC

Institutional Research - Run date: 23FEB2016


|  |  |  | Headcount Enrollments, Credit-bearing Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Lecture | 1Lower | 1-Spring | 326 | 473 | 453 | 397 | 433 | 461 | 439 |
|  |  | 2Summer | 69 | 91 | 45 | 56 | 25 | 31 | 42 |
|  |  | 3-Fall | 525 | 511 | 489 | 484 | 633 | 656 | 698 |
|  |  | All | 920 | 1075 | 987 | 937 | 1091 | 1148 | 1179 |
|  | 2Upper | 1-Spring | 389 | 417 | 487 | 447 | 407 | 483 | 458 |
|  |  | 2Summer | 145 | 106 | 144 | 143 | 136 | 117 | 83 |
|  |  | 3-Fall | 452 | 499 | 505 | 442 | 462 | 418 | 445 |
|  |  | All | 986 | 1022 | 1136 | 1032 | 1005 | 1018 | 986 |
|  | 3Grad | 1-Spring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2Summer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3-Fall | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  | All | 1 |  |  | . |  | 2 |  |
|  | All |  | 1907 | 2097 | 2123 | 1969 | 2096 | 2168 | 2165 |
| IST/FEX | 1Lower | 1-Spring | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 2 |  |  |
|  |  | 2Summer | 2 | . |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3-Fall |  | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | All | 3 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 2 | . |  |
|  | 2Uppe r | 1Spring | 285 | 304 | 227 | 231 | 229 | 198 | 185 |
|  |  | 2- <br> Summe <br> r | 3 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 8 | 6 |



## Academic Subject: EDUC

|  |  |  | Student Credit Hours, Credit-bearing Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Lectures | 1- <br> Lower | 1-Spring | 1026 | 1496 | 1399 | 1237 | 1366 | 1436 | 1340 |
|  |  | 2- <br> Summer | 207 | 273 | 135 | 153 | 75 | 86 | 126 |
|  |  | 3-Fall | 1541 | 1527 | 1444 | 1462 | 1848 | 1776 | 1859 |
|  |  | All | 2774 | 3296 | 2978 | 2852 | 3289 | 3298 | 3325 |
|  | 2Upper | 1-Spring | 1167 | 1225 | 1407 | 1314 | 1183 | 1413 | 1347 |
|  |  | 2- <br> Summer | 435 | 318 | 432 | 429 | 408 | 351 | 249 |
|  |  | 3-Fall | 1357 | 1497 | 1515 | 1326 | 1386 | 1254 | 1335 |
|  |  | All | 2959 | 3040 | 3354 | 3069 | 2977 | 3018 | 2931 |
|  | 3-Grad | 1-Spring |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2- <br> Summer | . | . | . |  | . | . |  |
|  |  | 3-Fall | 1 | . | . | . | . | 8 |  |
|  |  | All | 1 |  | . |  |  | 8 |  |
|  | All |  | 5734 | 6336 | 6332 | 5921 | 6266 | 6324 | 6256 |
| IST/FEX | 1Lower | 1-Spring | 3 | 3 | 6 | 16 | 6 |  |  |
|  |  | 2- <br> Summer | 6 | . | . |  | . | . |  |
|  |  | 3-Fall |  | 15 | 5 |  | . | . |  |
|  |  | All | 9 | 18 | 11 | 16 | 6 |  |  |
|  |  | 1-Spring | 1325 | 1299 | 1169 | 1229 | 1118 | 971 | 860 |



## Academic Subject: EDUC

|  |  |  | Lectures and Lab/Discussion Sections (\#) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Lectures | 1- <br> Lower | 1-Spring | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 20 | 19 |
|  |  | 2- <br> Summer | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | 3-Fall | 19 | 18 | 18 | 21 | 23 | 24 | 23 |
|  |  | All | 40 | 40 | 39 | 44 | 43 | 46 | 44 |
|  | 2Upper | 1-Spring | 17 | 18 | 16 | 17 | 21 | 25 | 29 |
|  |  | 2- <br> Summer | 7 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
|  |  | 3-Fall | 20 | 23 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 31 |
|  |  | All | 44 | 45 | 43 | 46 | 48 | 52 | 65 |


|  |  |  |  | ures | and Lab | Discu | sion S | ction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|  | 3-Grad | 1-Spring | . | . | . |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2- <br> Summer | . | . | . | . | . |  |  |
|  |  | 3-Fall | 1 | . | . | . | . | 2 |  |
|  |  | All | 1 | . | . | . |  | 2 |  |
|  | All |  | 85 | 85 | 82 | 90 | 91 | 100 | 109 |
| All |  |  | 85 | 85 | 82 | 90 | 91 | 100 | 109 |

## Academic Subject: EDUC

|  |  |  | Average Section Size of Lectures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Lectures | 1Lower | 1-Spring | 18.1 | 26.3 | 25.2 | 20.9 | 24.1 | 23.1 | 23.1 |
|  |  | 2Summer | 23.0 | 22.8 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 12.5 | 15.5 | 21.0 |
|  |  | 3-Fall | 27.6 | 28.4 | 27.2 | 23.0 | 27.5 | 27.3 | 30.3 |
|  |  | All | 23.0 | 26.9 | 25.3 | 21.3 | 25.4 | 25.0 | 26.8 |
|  | 2- <br> Upper | 1-Spring | 22.9 | 23.2 | 30.4 | 26.3 | 19.4 | 19.3 | 15.8 |
|  |  | 2- <br> Summer | 20.7 | 26.5 | 24.0 | 23.8 | 34.0 | 23.4 | 16.6 |
|  |  | 3-Fall | 22.6 | 21.7 | 24.0 | 19.2 | 20.1 | 19.0 | 14.4 |
|  |  | All | 22.4 | 22.7 | 26.4 | 22.4 | 20.9 | 19.6 | 15.2 |
|  | 3-Grad | 1-Spring |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2- <br> Summer | . |  | . | - | . | . |  |


|  |  | Average Section Size of Lectures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|  | 3-Fall | 1.0 | . |  | . |  | 1.0 |  |
|  | All | 1.0 | . |  | . | . | 1.0 |  |
| All |  | 22.4 | 24.7 | 25.9 | 21.9 | 23.0 | 21.7 | 19.9 |


| Unique Lecture Courses Delivered in Past Four Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |  |
| 1-Lower | 7 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 2-Upper | 33 | 34 | 31 | 30 | 33 | 33 | 32 |


|  |  | General Education as a Percent of all Credits in Lectures |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2009 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-Lower | $32 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| 2-Upper | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

## Budgetary Unit: EDU

|  | Instructional Staff Headcounts and FTEs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Full Professors (FT) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Associate Professors (FT) | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 5 |
| Assistant Professors (FT) | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Instructors and Lecturers (FT) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Total Full-time Instructional Staff | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 11 |
| Part-time Instructional Staff | 8 | 8 | 7 |  |  | . |  |
| FTE of Part-time Faculty | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.1 |  |  | . |  |
| Total Instructional FTE | 12.9 | 13.2 | 12.1 |  |  |  |  |


|  | Student Credit Hours per Faculty FTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| SCH per Full-time Faculty FTE | 272 | 306 | 294 |  |  |  |  |
| SCH per Part-time Faculty FTE | 1250 | 594 | 1031 |  | . |  |  |
| SCH per Faculty FTE | 338 | 332 | 359 |  |  |  |  |

Alumni Survey: 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 \& 2015

|  | Survey <br> year | Graduation <br> Year | Education |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | UWGB Overall

* Note: \% response misses double-majors who chose to report on their other major.

Table 1. Preparation \& Importance

- Preparation by UWGB (5-pt. scale; 5 = excellent)
- $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Importance to current job or } \\ & \text { graduate program (5-pt. scale; } 5 \\ & \text { = very important) }\end{aligned}$

| Table 2. Educational experiences (5-pt. scale; 5 = strongly agree) | Unit of Analysis | N | Strongly Agree or Agree | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| My educational experiences at UW-Green Bay helped me to learn or reinforced my belief that learning is a lifelong process. | EDUC | 50 | 92\% | 4.3 |
|  | UWGB | 861 | 90\% | 4.3 |
| While at UW-Green Bay, I had frequent interactions with people from different countries or cultural backgrounds than my own. | EDUC | 51 | 69\% | 3.7 |
|  | UWGB | 849 | 52\% | 3.4 |
| Students at UW-Green Bay are encouraged to become involved in community affairs. | EDUC | 49 | 67\% | 3.7 |
|  | UWGB | 845 | 59\% | 3.6 |
| My experiences and course work at UW-Green Bay encouraged me to think creatively and innovatively. | EDUC | 49 | 84\% | 4.0 |
|  | UWGB | 857 | 87\% | 4.1 |
| The interdisciplinary, problem-focused education provided by UWGreen Bay gives its graduates an advantage when they are seeking employment or applying to graduate school. | EDUC | 51 | 77\% | 4.0 |
|  | UWGB | 851 | 77\% | 4.0 |
| UW-Green Bay provides a strong, interdisciplinary, problem-focused education. | EDUC | 51 | 78\% | 4.0 |
|  | UWGB | 861 | 83\% | 4.1 |
| Students at UW-Green Bay have many opportunities in their classes to apply their learning to real situations. | EDUC | 51 | 88\% | 4.3 |
|  | UWGB | 853 | 72\% | 3.9 |
| I would recommend UW-Green Bay to co-worker, friend, or family member. | EDUC | 51 | 92\% | 4.5 |
|  | UWGB | 861 | 90\% | 4.4 |
| The General Education requirements at UWGB were a valuable component of my education. | EDUC | 46 | 52\% | 3.5 |
|  | UWGB | 813 | 57\% | 3.5 |
| UWGB cares about its graduates. | EDUC | 50 | 52\% | 3.5 |
|  | UWGB | 827 | 63\% | 3.7 |
| I feel connected to UWGB. | EDUC | 50 | 44\% | 3.3 |
|  | UWGB | 849 | 46\% | 3.3 |


| Table 3. "If you could start college over" | Unit of Analysis | n | UW-Green Bay |  | Another college |  | No bachelor's degree anywhere |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Same major | Different major | Same major | Different major |  |
| 2011-2015 percent | EDUC | 51 | 72\% | 16\% | 12\% | 0 | 0 |
|  | UWGB | 858 | 65\% | 22\% | 6\% | 6\% | 1\% |

Table 4. Rating the MAJOR (Scale: $A=4, B=3$, etc.)

| (Scale. $A=4, B=3$, etc.) | Unit of Analysis | n | A or B | C or D | mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quality of teaching. | EDUC | 51 | 90\% | 10\% | 3.4 |
|  | UWGB | 864 | 95\% | 5\% | 3.6 |
| Knowledge and expertise of the faculty. | EDUC | 51 | 94\% | 6\% | 3.6 |
|  | UWGB | 862 | 98\% | 2\% | 3.7 |
| Faculty-student relationships (e.g., helpfulness, sensitivity, acceptance of different views). | EDUC | 51 | 94\% | 6\% | 3.6 |
|  | UWGB | 860 | 92\% | 8\% | 3.6 |
| Importance and relevance of courses to professional and academic goals. | EDUC | 51 | 86\% | 14\% | 3.8 |
|  | UWGB | 856 | 89\% | 11\% | 3.4 |
| Advising by faculty (e.g., accuracy of information). | EDUC | 51 | 80\% | 18\% | 3.3 |
|  | UWGB | 840 | 87\% | 12\% | 3.4 |
| Availability of faculty (e.g., during office hours). | EDUC | 51 | 92\% | 8\% | 3.6 |
|  | UWGB | 842 | 93\% | 8\% | 3.5 |
| Overall grade for the major (not a sum of the above). | EDUC | 50 | 90\% | 10\% | 3.5 |
|  | UWGB | 855 | 95\% | 5\% | 3.5 |


| Table 5. Highest <br> degree planned | Unit of <br> Analysis | $\mathbf{n}$ | Bachelor's | Master's | Specialist | Professional | Doctoral |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2011-2015$ percent | EDUC | 51 | $29 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $4 \%$ | 0 | $2 \%$ |
|  | UWGB | 861 | $38 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $11 \%$ |


|  Table 6. <br> Graduate/professional <br> study plans Unit of <br> Analysis $\mathbf{n}$ Already <br> graduated Currently <br> enrolled Accepted, <br> not <br> enrolled Rejected <br> $2011-2015$ percent EDUC 39 $3 \%$ $15 \%$ $8 \%$ $2 \%$ $72 \%$ <br>  UWGB 543 $24 \%$ $22 \%$ $4 \%$ $2 \%$ $47 \%$ |
| :--- |


| Table 7. Current employment status | EDUC ( $\mathrm{n}=50$ ) | UWGB ( $\mathrm{n}=861$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employed full-time (33 or more hours/week) | 88\% | 79\% |
| Employed part-time | 12\% | 11\% |
| Unemployed, seeking work | 0 | 4\% |
| Unemployed, not seeking work | 2\% | 2\% |
| Student, not seeking work | 0 | 4\% |


| Table 8. Satisfaction with current job (5-pt. scale; $5=$ very satisfied) | Unit of Analysis | n | Very satisfied or satisfied | mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011-2015 percentage | EDUC | 50 | 90\% | 4.3 |
|  | UWGB | 768 | 74\% | 3.9 |


| Table 9. Minimum educational requirements for current job | EDUC ( $\mathrm{n}=49$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UWGB ( } n= \\ & \text { 748) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High school or less | 6\% | 19\% |
| Certificate | 0 | 3\% |
| Associate's degree | 6\% | 12\% |
| Bachelor's degree | 88\% | 58\% |
| Graduate degree | 0 | 8\% |


| Table 10. Extent to which job relates to major | EDUC ( $\mathrm{n}=50$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UWGB }(n= \\ & 765) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very related | 80\% | 48\% |
| Somewhat related | 10\% | 33\% |
| Not at all related | 10\% | 19\% |


| Table 11. Current income |
| :--- |
|  |
|  EDUC ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{4 8 )}$ UWGB ( $\mathrm{n}=$ <br> 745) <br> Under $\$ 20,000$ $8 \%$ $12 \%$ <br> $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 25,999$ $6 \%$ $10 \%$ <br> $\$ 26,000$ to $\$ 29,999$ $10 \%$ $9 \%$ <br> $\$ 30,000$ to $\$ 35,999$ $33 \%$ $18 \%$ <br> $\$ 36,000$ to $\$ 39,999$ $25 \%$ $12 \%$ <br> $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 49,999$ $15 \%$ $17 \%$ <br> $\$ 50,000$ or more $2 \%$ $22 \%$ |

## Employers, Locations, and Job Titles

| Oshkosh Area School District | Oshkosh | Wisconsin | Kindergarten Teacher |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Algoma School District | Algoma | Wisconsin | Elementary Teacher |
| Appleton Area School District | Appleton | Wisconsin | 2nd Grade Teacher |
| Kimberly Area School District - Art <br> Teacher | Kimberly | Wisconsin | Art Teacher |
| Howard Suamico School District | Green Bay | Wisconsin | Teacher |
| Freeport School District | Freeport | Illinois | Pre-School Teacher |
|  | Fish Creek | Wisconsin | Cashier |
| Peak Performance Real Estate Services | Green Bay | Wisconsin | Assistant |
| Encompass Early Childhood and Care | Green Bay | Wisconsin | Preschool Teacher |
| St. Joseph School | Baraboo | Wisconsin | 2nd Grade Teacher |
| Sevastopol School District | Sturgeon Bay | Wisconsin | 3rd Grade Teacher |
|  | Oshkosh | Wisconsin | Preschool Teacher |
|  | Eau Claire | Wisconsin | Stay-At-Home Mom |
| Eau Claire Area School District | Oshkosh | Wisconsin | Teacher |
| Headstart | Sturgeon Bay | Wisconsin | Third Grade Teacher |
| Sturgeon Bay Public Schools | De Pere | Wisconsin | Owner |
| J.Lee Home Decor LLC | Green Bay | Wisconsin | Teacher |
| Green Bay Area Public Schools- Keller <br> Elementary | Green Bay | Wisconsin | 2nd Grade Teacher |
| Green Bay Area Public Schools | Minneapolis | Minnesota | 1st/2nd grade teacher |
| Minneapolis Public Schools | Kaukauna | Wisconsin | Elementary ESL Teacher |
| Kaukauna Area School District | Sheybogan | Wisconsin | Postal Service Employee |
| United States Post Office | Verona | Wisconsin | Elementary teacher |
| Verona Area School district | Poynette | Wisconsin | 2nd Grade Teacher |
| School District of Poynette | Green Bay | Wisconsin | 2nd grade Teacher- <br> Bilingual |
| Green Bay Area Public Schools | Oshkosh | WI | \begin{tabular}{l}
\end{tabular} |
|  | Kth grade teacher |  |  |
| Menominee Indian School District | Keshena | Wisconsin | Teonsin | | Teacher |
| :--- |
| Hortonville School District |

Graduating Senior Survey:
2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 \& 2015

| Graduation <br> Year | Education |  | UWGB Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduates: | 2011 | 65 | 1185 |
|  | 2012 | 85 | 1293 |
|  | 2013 | 61 | 1229 |
|  | 2014 | 81 | 1233 |
|  | 2015 | 56 | 1250 |
| Response Rate* | $2011-2015$ | $156 / 348(45 \%)$ | $2805 / 6190(45 \%)$ |

* Note: \% response misses double-majors who choose to report on their other major.

| Table 1: Rating the MAJOR ( $\mathrm{A}=4, \mathrm{~B}=3.0$, etc.) | Unit of Analysis | 2011-2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | mean | A | B | C | D | F |
| Clarity of major requirements | EDUC | 156 | 3.5 | 61\% | 33\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | UWGB | 2800 | 3.5 | 59\% | 33\% | 6\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Reasonableness of major requirements | EDUC | 155 | 3.5 | 59\% | 37\% | 4\% | <1\% | 0\% |
|  | UWGB | 2793 | 3.5 | 58\% | 34\% | 6\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Variety of courses available in your major | EDUC | 153 | 3.1 | 39\% | 40\% | 16\% | 4\% | 1\% |
|  | UWGB | 2786 | 3.1 | 36\% | 41\% | 17\% | 5\% | 1\% |
| Frequency of course offerings in your major | EDUC | 155 | 3.2 | 46\% | 33\% | 15\% | 6\% | <1\% |
|  | UWGB | 2782 | 2.8 | 23\% | 42\% | 24\% | 8\% | 3\% |
| Times courses were offered | EDUC | 155 | 2.9 | 26\% | 44\% | 21\% | 7\% | 2\% |
|  | UWGB | 2730 | 2.9 | 30\% | 41\% | 21\% | 6\% | 2\% |
| Quality of internship, practicum, or field experience | EDUC | 153 | 3.6 | 74\% | 18\% | 5\% | 2\% | 1\% |
|  | UWGB | 1595 | 3.4 | 58\% | 27\% | 9\% | 3\% | 2\% |
| Quality of teaching by faculty in your major | EDUC | 156 | 3.2 | 34\% | 49\% | 16\% | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | UWGB | 2785 | 3.4 | 52\% | 37\% | 9\% | 1\% | <1\% |
| Knowledge and expertise of the faculty in your major | EDUC | 156 | 3.5 | 54\% | 38\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | UWGB | 2800 | 3.6 | 69\% | 26\% | 4\% | 1\% | < $1 \%$ |
| Faculty encouragement of your educational goals | EDUC | 154 | 3.6 | 64\% | 30\% | 4\% | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | UWGB | 2768 | 3.4 | 57\% | 29\% | 10\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| Overall quality of advising received from the faculty in your major | EDUC | 152 | 3.2 | 55\% | 24\% | 10\% | 8\% | $3 \%$ |
|  | UWGB | 2680 | 3.2 | 55\% | 24\% | 12\% | 5\% | 4\% |
| Availability of your major advisor for advising | EDUC | 151 | 3.3 | 56\% | 27\% | 11\% | 5\% | 1\% |
|  | UWGB | 2660 | 3.4 | 61\% | 23\% | 9\% | 4\% | 3\% |
| Ability of your advisor to answer university questions | EDUC | 148 | 3.5 | 64\% | 22\% | 9\% | 5\% | 0\% |
|  | UWGB | 2626 | 3.4 | 65\% | 20\% | 9\% | 4\% | 2\% |
| Ability of your advisor to answer career questions | EDUC | 145 | 3.5 | 62\% | 24\% | 12\% | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | UWGB | 2333 | 3.2 | 54\% | 26\% | 13\% | 4\% | 3\% |
| In-class faculty-student interaction | EDUC | 156 | 3.2 | 52\% | 26\% | 12\% | 10\% | 0\% |
|  | UWGB | 2590 | 3.1 | 45\% | 29\% | 13\% | 13\% | <1\% |
| Overall grade for your major (not an | EDUC | 156 | 3.4 | 50\% | 43\% | 6\% | 1\% | 0\% |

Table 1: Rating the MAJOR ( $\mathrm{A}=4, \mathrm{~B}=3.0$, etc.)
average of the above)

| Unit of Analysis | 2011-2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | mean | A | B | C | D | F |
| UWGB | 2771 | 3.4 | 50\% | 41\% | 7\% | 1\% | <1\% |

Table 2. Job related to major while completing degree?

| hile completing degree? | Unit of Analysis | n | Paid | Nonpaid | Paid | Nonpaid | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011-2015 percent | EDUC | 156 | 3\% | 3\% | 61\% | 2\% | 31\% |
|  | UWGB | 2789 | 16\% | 1\% | 33\% | 5\% | 45\% |

Table 3. "If you could start college over"

| start college over" | Unit of Analysis | n | Same major | Different major | Same major | Different major | No BA degree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011-2015 percent | EDUC | 156 | 82\% | 4\% | 12\% | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | UWGB | 2790 | 68\% | 13\% | 13\% | 5\% | 1\% |


| Table 4. Plans regarding <br> graduate/professional study | Unit of <br> Analysis | $\mathbf{n}$ | Already <br> admitted | Have <br> applied | Plan to eventually <br> attend | NA/have not <br> applied yet |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2011-2015$ percent | EDUC | 132 | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
|  | UWGB | 2128 | $8 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $19 \%$ |


| Table 5. Highest <br> degree planned | Unit of <br> Analysis | $\mathbf{n}$ | Bachelor's | Master's | Specialist's | Professional | Doctoral |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2011-2015$ percent | EDUC | 156 | $20 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
|  | UWGB | 2790 | $33 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $12 \%$ |


| Table 6. General Education preparation | Unit of Anal ysis | Current Proficiency |  |  | Gen Ed Contribution |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current proficiency vs. Contribution of Gen Ed to current proficiency (3-pt. scale; $3=$ high, $2=$ medium, $1=$ low) |  | n | \% High | mean | n | \% High | mean |
| Critical analysis skills. | EDUC | 140 | 49\% | 2.5 | 142 | 33\% | 2.3 |
|  | UWGB | 2655 | 63\% | 2.6 | 2579 | 37\% | 2.2 |
| Problem-solving skills. | EDUC | 140 | 71\% | 2.7 | 143 | 38\% | 2.3 |
|  | UWGB | 2653 | 70\% | 2.7 | 2570 | 39\% | 2.2 |
| Understanding biology and the physical sciences. | EDUC | 135 | 13\% | 1.8 | 137 | 17\% | 1.9 |
|  | UWGB | 2545 | 28\% | 2.0 | 2442 | 26\% | 2.0 |
| Understanding the impact of science and technology. | EDUC | 138 | 25\% | 2.1 | 140 | 26\% | 2.1 |
|  | UWGB | 2569 | 37\% | 2.2 | 2467 | 28\% | 2.1 |
| Understanding social, political, geographic, and | EDUC | 136 | $21 \%$ | 2.0 | 135 | 21\% | 1.9 |


| Table 6. General Education preparation <br> Current proficiency vs. Contribution of Gen Ed to current proficiency (3-pt. scale; $3=$ high, $2=$ medium, $1=$ low) | Unit <br> of <br> Anal <br> ysis | Current Proficiency |  |  | Gen Ed Contribution |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { High } \end{gathered}$ | mean | n | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { High } \end{gathered}$ | mean |
| economic structures. | UWGB | 2596 | 34\% | 2.2 | 2528 | 31\% | 2.1 |
| Understanding the impact of social institutions and values. | EDUC | 137 | 43\% | 2.4 | 136 | 35\% | 2.2 |
|  | UWGB | 2605 | 49\% | 2.4 | 2530 | 40\% | 2.3 |
| Understanding the significance of major events in Western civilization. | EDUC | 136 | 21\% | 1.9 | 136 | 23\% | 1.9 |
|  | UWGB | 2576 | 33\% | 2.1 | 2496 | 33\% | 2.1 |
| Understanding the role of the humanities in identifying and clarifying values. | EDUC | 138 | 34\% | 2.2 | 140 | 29\% | 2.1 |
|  | UWGB | 2599 | 39\% | 2.3 | 2523 | 36\% | 2.2 |
| Understanding at least one Fine Art. | EDUC | 137 | 41\% | 2.3 | 136 | 34\% | 2.1 |
|  | UWGB | 2565 | 39\% | 2.2 | 2476 | 35\% | 2.1 |
| Understanding contemporary global issues. | EDUC | 134 | 22\% | 2.0 | 134 | 23\% | 2.0 |
|  | UWGB | 2587 | 34\% | 2.2 | 2493 | 29\% | 2.1 |
| Understanding the causes and effects of stereotyping and racism. | EDUC | 138 | 64\% | 2.6 | 141 | 45\% | 2.3 |
|  | UWGB | 2611 | 61\% | 2.6 | 2522 | 44\% | 2.3 |
| Written communication skills | EDUC | 136 | 63\% | 2.6 | 139 | 45\% | 2.3 |
|  | UWGB | 2629 | 66\% | 2.6 | 2550 | 46\% | 2.3 |
| Public speaking and presentation skills | EDUC | 138 | 61\% | 2.6 | 140 | 41\% | 2.3 |
|  | UWGB | 2594 | 45\% | 2.3 | 2478 | 33\% | 2.1 |
| Computer skills | EDUC | 136 | 52\% | 2.5 | 139 | 36\% | 2.2 |
|  | UWGB | 2611 | 54\% | 2.5 | 2476 | 33\% | 2.1 |


| Table 7. Educational experiences ( 5 pt . scale; $5=$ strongly agree) |  | 2011-2015 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unit of Analysis | n | Strongly Agree or Agree | mean |
| Because of my educational experiences at UW-Green Bay, I have learned to view learning as a lifelong process. | EDUC | 148 | 89\% | 4.4 |
|  | UWGB | 2712 | 90\% | 4.4 |
| While at UW-Green Bay, I had frequent interactions with people from different countries or cultural backgrounds than my own. | EDUC | 147 | 52\% | 3.5 |
|  | UWGB | 2594 | 47\% | 3.3 |
| The UW-Green Bay educational experience encourages students to become involved in community affairs. | EDUC | 142 | 68\% | 3.8 |
|  | UWGB | 2594 | 60\% | 3.6 |
| My experiences at UW-Green Bay encouraged me to think creatively and innovatively. | EDUC | 144 | 84\% | 4.2 |
|  | UWGB | 2705 | 82\% | 4.1 |
| My education at UW-Green Bay has given me a "competitive edge" over graduates from other institutions. | EDUC | 135 | 68\% | 3.9 |
|  | UWGB | 2592 | 66\% | 3.8 |
| UW-Green Bay provides a strong, interdisciplinary, problem-focused education. | EDUC | 141 | 72\% | 4.0 |
|  | UWGB | 2664 | 75\% | 4.0 |
| Students at UW-Green Bay have many opportunities in their classes to apply their learning to real situations. | EDUC | 147 | 86\% | 4.2 |
|  | UWGB | 2686 | 73\% | 3.9 |
| I would recommend UW-Green Bay to a friend, co-worker, or family member. | EDUC | 147 | 85\% | 4.3 |
|  | UWGB | 2699 | 82\% | 4.2 |
| There is a strong commitment to racial harmony on this campus. | EDUC | 135 | 67\% | 3.9 |
|  | UWGB | 2396 | 60\% | 3.7 |
| The faculty and staff of UWGB are committed to gender equity. | EDUC | 134 | 81\% | 4.2 |
|  | UWGB | 2491 | 78\% | 4.1 |
| This institution shows concern for students as individuals. | EDUC | 143 | 78\% | 4.1 |
|  | UWGB | 2644 | 75\% | 4.0 |
| The General Education requirements at UWGB were a valuable component of my education. | EDUC | 140 | 52\% | 3.4 |
|  | UWGB | 2565 | 52\% | 3.4 |


| Table 8. Activities while at UW-Green Bay | Unit of Analysis | n |  |  | 砢 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011-2015 percent | EDUC | 156 | 35\% | 42\% | 92\% | 21\% | 81\% | 20\% | 57\% | 22\% |
|  | UWGB | 2795 | 25\% | 47\% | 56\% | 23\% | 59\% | 24\% | 58\% | 14\% |

Table 9. Rating services and resources

| Table 9. Rating services and resources ( $\mathrm{A}=4, \mathrm{~B}=3$, etc.) | Unit of Analysis | 2011-2015 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | A or B | mean |
| Library services (hours, staff, facilities) | EDUC | 142 | 95\% | 3.5 |
|  | UWGB | 2327 | 90\% | 3.4 |
| Library collection (books, online databases) | EDUC | 131 | 92\% | 3.5 |
|  | UWGB | 2221 | 92\% | 3.5 |
| Admission Office | EDUC | 125 | 92\% | 3.4 |
|  | UWGB | 2139 | 91\% | 3.4 |
| Financial Aid Office | EDUC | 121 | 88\% | 3.3 |
|  | UWGB | 2033 | 87\% | 3.3 |
| Bursar's Office | EDUC | 148 | 85\% | 3.3 |
|  | UWGB | 2513 | 86\% | 3.3 |
| Career Services | EDUC | 72 | 86\% | 3.3 |
|  | UWGB | 1521 | 85\% | 3.3 |
| Academic Advising Office | EDUC | 135 | 72\% | 2.9 |
|  | UWGB | 2233 | 77\% | 3.1 |
| Student Health Services | EDUC | 134 | 96\% | 3.6 |
|  | UWGB | 1278 | 87\% | 3.4 |
| Registrar's Office | EDUC | 130 | 88\% | 3.4 |
|  | UWGB | 2155 | 91\% | 3.4 |
| Writing Center | EDUC | 49 | 82\% | 3.2 |
|  | UWGB | 935 | 85\% | 3.3 |
| University Union | EDUC | 146 | 92\% | 3.4 |
|  | UWGB | 2204 | 88\% | 3.3 |
| Student Life | EDUC | 103 | 85\% | 3.3 |
|  | UWGB | 1329 | 83\% | 3.2 |
| Counseling Center | EDUC | 45 | 82\% | 3.3 |
|  | UWGB | 514 | 82\% | 3.3 |
| Computer Facilities (labs, hardware, software) | EDUC | 149 | 95\% | 3.6 |
|  | UWGB | 2275 | 95\% | 3.6 |
| Computer Services (hours, staff, training) | EDUC | 140 | 95\% | 3.6 |
|  | UWGB | 2028 | 92\% | 3.5 |
| Kress Events Center | EDUC | 136 | 98\% | 3.8 |
|  | UWGB | 1846 | 97\% | 3.7 |
| Dining Services | EDUC | 130 | 71\% | 2.8 |
|  | UWGB | 1883 | 56\% | 2.5 |
| American Intercultural Center | EDUC | 24 | 88\% | 3.3 |
|  | UWGB | 358 | 87\% | 3.4 |
| International Office | EDUC | 28 | 82\% | 3.3 |
|  | UWGB | 351 | 82\% | 3.2 |
| Residence Life | EDUC | 95 | 82\% | 3.2 |

Table 9. Rating services and resources
( $\mathrm{A}=4, \mathrm{~B}=3$, etc.)


## Attachment 2: Current Official Requirements as published in the Undergraduate Catalog

2016-2017 Undergraduate Catalog
http://www.uwgb.edu/education/
Faculty
Scott Ashmann- Associate Professor
Aurora Cortes - Assistant Professor
Christin DePouw - Assistant Professor
Karen Eckhardt - Lecturer
Mary Gichobi - Assistant Professor
Tim Kaufman - Associate Professor
Mark Kiehn - Associate Professor
Steven Kimball - Associate Professor and Chair
Art Lacey - Senior Lecturer
Pao Lor - Associate Professor
Kris Michell - Lecturer
Helen Schaal - Lecturer
Staff
Amy Bartelme - Student-Academic Records Coordinator
Terra Dirschl - Services Associate
Jamie Froh-Tyrrell - Student Teaching Coordinator
Emeritus Faculty
Theodor Korthoski - Emeritus Professor
Patricia Ragan - Emeretius Professor
Joan Thron - Emertius Professor

Interdisciplinary Major or Minor
(Bachelor of Science)
UW-Green Bay's teacher education program is approved by the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction. The program is designed to prepare entry-level teachers with relevant content, professional knowledge and skills to effectively meet the future learning needs of a changing school population.

At UW-Green Bay, students seeking early childhood (Early Childhood - Ages 0-8) or elementary-level (Early Childhood through Middle Childhood - Ages 0-11 or Middle Childhood through Early Adolescence - Ages 6-12/13) licensure are required to complete a major in Education. Students completing the Ages 6-12/13 license are also required to complete an approved minor. Graduates seeking these licenses receive a bachelor's degree in Education.

Students who desire secondary-level teaching licensure (Early Adolescence through Adolescence Ages 10-21 or Early Childhood through Adolescence - All Ages) are required to complete a minor in Education to support a disciplinary major as required and approved by the Department of Public Instruction. Graduates seeking these licenses receive a bachelor's degree in their disciplinary major(s).

The interdisciplinary, problem-focused studies offered at UW-Green Bay provide uncommonly strong preparation for teaching. Students focus on excellence in the teaching/learning process through methods and field experience courses that provide the background, knowledge and instructional tools needed to become effective teachers. These studies complement strong academic coursework in communication, the arts, humanities, social studies, science and mathematics.

UW-Green Bay offers teacher license programs at these age levels:

- Early Childhood (Ages 0-8)
- Early Childhood through Middle Childhood (Ages 0-11)
- Middle Childhood through Early Adolescence (Ages 6-12/13)
- Early Adolescence through Adolescence (Ages 10-21)
- Early Childhood through Adolescence (All Ages)

Students may pursue a supplemental Bilingual/Bicultural Education and/or English as a Second Language license by completing a minor in Humanistic Studies with an emphasis in linguistics and any additional requirements set by the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction. Contact the Professional Program in Education for a full list of certification requirements.

UW-Green Bay's teacher education program provides prospective teachers with an opportunity to work in a variety of educational settings throughout their program of study. These school-based experiences will include work with various ethnic, cultural and economic groups, and children with exceptional educational needs.

Who Should Seek an Education Major or Minor?

To declare a major or minor in Education, students must first be admitted to the Professional Program in Education. The process and requirements are listed below. Students must enjoy being around children and adolescents. However, a love of children does not guarantee a love of teaching! Teaching is a demanding but extremely rewarding profession. The best teachers are those who pursue a love of learning that does not end at graduation. Teaching is a calling, a commitment to educating, and not just a job.

Students interested in pursuing an Education major or minor must have the ability to communicate, inspire trust and confidence, and motivate students, as well as understand their educational and emotional needs. They also should be organized, dependable, patient, and creative.

## Majors and Minors

For the student majoring in Education, a minor is required only when pursuing a Middle Childhood through Early Adolescence (Ages 6-12/13) license. Students thinking about teaching at the middle or
high school level complete a minor in Education and major in the area they want to teach. Students must have a passion for their major program of study and a desire to actively engage others in the learning process.

Knowledge and Skills Gained in the Major and Minor
The requirements for both the Education major and minor include courses that address today's concerns in education: changes in the schools and schooling, changes in the nature and nurture of students, and changes in society and the workplace. Early clinical experiences allow prospective teachers to observe and participate in actual educational settings. These experiences will often include working with students from various ethnic, cultural and economic groups, adult learners, and exceptional needs children.

Students will learn and understand the central concepts, tools, and structures of their discipline. Students will also understand how children learn and develop and how children differ in their learning abilities. Teaching techniques and strategies of instruction are taught not only to educate children on subject matter, but also in an effort to encourage critical thinking and problem-solving.

## What Can You Do with a Major or Minor in Education?

Education is a professional program, which primarily educates students to become entry-level teachers. However, there are many other career opportunities in education. The following are some but certainly not all of the career opportunities in the field of education: day care administrator, education management specialist, educational sales representative, education and training administrator, elementary school teacher, kindergarten teacher, librarian, preschool administrator, secondary school teacher, and tutor.

Program Admission Process and Requirements
Admission to the program is a two-step process. The first step is to be admitted as a candidate. Step two is final admission to the program. Complete information about admission requirements and all application materials can be obtained from the Education Program Office.

Applications for candidacy must be completed and submitted near the beginning of each semester for admission starting the next semester. A committee of Education program faculty reviews applications and makes admission decisions based on the criteria described below. Meeting the minimum requirements does not guarantee admission as a program candidate.

The process and requirements for admission as a candidate in the Professional Program in Education are as follows:

1. Apply and be accepted to the University of Wisconsin-Green Bay.
2. Complete a minimum of twenty-eight (28) university credits with a cumulative grade point average of at least 2.75.
3. Meet one of test requirements listed below. Test scores cannot be more than five years old and an official score report must be submitted to the Education Program Office at the time of application.
a. Receive passing scores on all three sections of the Core Academic Skills for Educators (CORE) exam.
b. A composite ACT score of 23 or higher with a minimum score of 20 in English, Math and Reading.
c. A composite SAT score of 1070 or higher with minimum scores of 450 in Math and Verbal sections.
4. Complete EDUC 208 and EDUC 206.
5. Complete and submit an Application for Candidacy with supporting documentation to the UWGreen Bay Professional Program in Education.

Only students who are candidates can apply for final admission to the program. A committee of Education program faculty reviews applications and makes decisions on final admission based on the criteria described below. Meeting the minimum requirements does not guarantee final admission to the program.

The process and requirements for full admission to the program are as follows:

1. Be admitted as a teacher education program candidate.
2. Successfully complete all required courses in candidacy block including EDUC 290 with a grade of B or better.
3. Complete and submit an Application for Admission to the Professional Education Program.
4. Submit the K-12 Teacher Recommendation Form to the Education Program Office.

5 Submit the UW-Green Bay Instructor Recommendation Form to the Education Office.

Teacher Licensing Requirements and Preparation
Students planning to pursue a teaching license should contact the Education Program Office, (920) 465-2137. Teacher licensing requirements are very specific and require ample credit hours. Also, Department of Public Instruction requirements change from time-to-time, making program requirements subject to change. Students must meet any new requirements before a license will be awarded. Students are responsible for being aware of current licensure requirements.

In addition to the requirements listed here, there are regulations about time limits, grade point averages, test scores and other program completion requirements. Credit hours necessary to fulfill the requirements for specific licenses vary, depending upon the major and/or minor selected, age level licensure sought, and other factors. The Education Office can provide specific requirements.

Individuals who already hold a bachelor's degree and are interested in pursuing a teaching license should contact the Education Office regarding special requirements that apply to them.

Following are summaries of academic program components required for each of the five teaching licenses offered at UW-Green Bay. A detailed listing of specific licensure requirements can be obtained from the Education Program Office.

Early Childhood (Ages 0-8) and Early Childhood through Middle Childhood (Ages 0-11)
-UW-Green Bay general education requirements

- Interdisciplinary major in Education
- Professional education course sequence
- Student teaching

Middle Childhood through Early Adolescence (Ages 6-12/13)
-UW-Green Bay general education requirements

- Interdisciplinary major in Education
- Approved minor
- Professional education course sequence
- Student teaching

Early Adolescence through Adolescence (Ages 10-21)
-UW-Green Bay general education requirements

- Content area major
- Interdisciplinary minor in Education
- Professional education course sequence
- Student teaching

Early Childhood through Adolescence (All Ages)
-For licenses in art, music, foreign languages, theatre only
-UW-Green Bay general education requirements

- Selected content area major
- Interdisciplinary minor in Education
-Professional education course sequence
- Student teaching

Students may study abroad or at other campuses in the United States through UW-Green Bay's participation in international exchange programs and National Student Exchange. Travel courses are another option for obtaining academic credits and completing requirements. For more information, contact the Office of International Education at (920) 465-2190 or see http://www.uwgb.edu/international/.

## Education Major

## Supporting Courses 22

EDUC 203
Environmental Education in K-12 Schools
EDUC 206
Cultural Images in Materials for Children and Adolescents
EDUC 208
Phuture Phoenix Field Experience
EDUC 280
Instructional Technologies: Evaluation, Production and Application
EDUC 290
Introduction to Educational Inquiry
MATH 281
Conceptual Foundations of Elementary Mathematics I
MATH 282
Conceptual Foundations of Elementary Mathematics II

## Upper-Level Courses 27

EDUC 302
Teaching Social Studies in Elementary and Middle Schools EDUC 307
Teaching Reading in the Elementary and Middle Schools EDUC 309
Teaching Language Arts in the Elementary and Middle Schools
EDUC 324
Teaching Mathematics in the Elementary and Middle Schools EDUC 325
Teaching Science in the Elementary and Middle Schools EDUC 326
Music, Movement and Core Arts Pedagogy
EDUC 340
Supporting Learning and Behavior in the Classroom
EDUC 361
Introduction to the Art and Science of Teaching
EDUC 421
Literacy and Language Development in Young Children

## Total Credits 49

## Education Minor

## Supporting Courses 17

EDUC 206
Cultural Images in Materials for Children and Adolescents
EDUC 208

Phuture Phoenix Field Experience
EDUC 280
Instructional Technologies: Evaluation, Production and Application
EDUC 290
Introduction to Educational Inquiry
MATH 101
Intermediate Algebra - This requirement can be waived with a Wisconsin Mathematics Placement Test score of MATH 104 or greater.

## Upper-Level Courses 15

EDUC 340
Supporting Learning and Behavior in the Classroom
EDUC 361
Introduction to the Art and Science of Teaching
EDUC 422
Reading in the Content Areas
EDUC 452
Principles of Middle Level Education
or EDUC 334
Teaching General Music in the Elementary and Middle Schools - Music majors with an Education minor will choose EDUC 334

## Choose one of the following courses as appropriate:

EDUC 310
Teaching Communication Arts in the Middle and Secondary Schools

EDUC 311
Teaching Foreign Languages (Course may be part of major requirement in French, German, and
Spanish)
EDUC 312
Teaching Social Studies in the Middle and Secondary Schools
EDUC 313
Teaching Mathematics in Middle and Secondary Schools
EDUC 314
Teaching Science in Middle and Secondary Schools
EDUC 315
Teaching English as a Second Language
EDUC 316
Teaching Art in the Middle and Secondary Schools
EDUC 317
Teaching Music in the Middle and Secondary Schools

## Total Credits 32

Students planning to pursue a teaching license should contact the Education Program office, (920) 465-2137, for the licensure requirements.

## Attachment 3: Assessment of Student Learning Worksheet

In consultation with the major program faculty, the program chair should complete the four items below and attach the completed worksheet to the Self-Study Report before forwarding to the dean. Attach an additional page if necessary. Maximum length is 2 pages.

Student Learning Outcomes. List your program's anticipated student learning outcomes. What do you expect all students to know or be able to do? Which of these outcomes relate to components of UWGreen Bay's Select Mission, specifically interdisciplinary, problem-focus; critical thinking; diversity; environmental sustainability; and engaged citizenship?

To be recommended for a license to teach in Wisconsin, all students must complete an approved program and demonstrate proficient performance in the knowledge, skills and dispositions under all of the following Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) Standards:

## Standard \#1: Learner Development

The teacher understands how learners grow and develop, recognizing that patterns of learning and development vary individually within and across the cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional, and physical areas, and designs and implements developmentally appropriate and challenging learning experiences.
Standard \#2: Learning Differences
The teacher uses understanding of individual differences and diverse cultures and communities to ensure inclusive learning environments that enable each learner to meet high standards.
Standard \#3: Learning Environments
The teacher works with others to create environments that support individual and collaborative learning, and that encourage positive social interaction, active engagement in learning, and selfmotivation.
Standard \#4: Content Knowledge
The teacher understands the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of the discipline(s) he or she teaches and creates learning experiences that make the discipline accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content.
Standard \#5: Application of Content
The teacher understands how to connect concepts and use differing perspectives to engage learners in critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem solving related to authentic local and global issues. Standard \#6: Assessment
The teacher understands and uses multiple methods of assessment to engage learners in their own growth, to monitor learner progress, and to guide the teacher's and learner's decision making.
Standard \#7: Planning for Instruction
The teacher plans instruction that supports every student in meeting rigorous learning goals by drawing upon knowledge of content areas, curriculum, cross-disciplinary skills, and pedagogy, as well as knowledge of learners and the community context.
Standard \#8: Instructional Strategies
The teacher understands and uses a variety of instructional strategies to encourage learners to develop deep understanding of content areas and their connections, and to build skills to apply knowledge in meaningful ways.

Standard \#9: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice
The teacher engages in ongoing professional learning and uses evidence to continually evaluate his/her practice, particularly the effects of his/her choices and actions on others (learners, families, other professionals, and the community), and adapts practice to meet the needs of each learner. Standard \#10: Leadership and Collaboration
The teacher seeks appropriate leadership roles and opportunities to take responsibility for student learning, to collaborate with learners, families, colleagues and other school professionals, and community members to ensure learner growth, and to advance the profession.

## Assessment Methods

In addition to the formative and summative assessment collected for each course, we utilize a cumulative Performance-Based Standards and Assessment portfolio. The Education Department has established performance-based standards for each of the ten student learning outcomes. A complete description of the program-wide performance tasks, the knowledge, skills, dispositions, assessment methods and potential portfolio artifacts used to determine if a candidate has demonstrated sufficient competency to be recommended for a particular teaching license is available upon request. Summary and analysis of the results is an ongoing process.

## Use of Results

Several modifications to our curriculum have been made because of the assessment process. Requirements for the Education major and minor have been clarified and the specific requirements for each teaching license have been explicitly determined. Newer courses include, EDCUC 326 and EDUC 421.

URL: Academic Plan for Education:
http:/ / www .uwgb.edu / oira/ reports/ProgramReviewFiles/ ELEM\% 20EDUC.html

# Attachment 4: Academic Affairs Council and Dean's conclusions and recommendations from the program's last review 

FROM: Christine Style, chair of Academic Affairs Council
Program Strengths and Areas in Need of Attention


#### Abstract

Some of the many strengths that the Education Program has includes its focus on field- based/hands-on learning; the use of the electronic portfolio for assessment; their relationship with the Institute for Learning Partnership; the establishment of the Phuture Phoenix Program and collaboration with the First Nations Studies Program at UW-Green Bay; the Cuernavaca, Mexico Education Program and collaboration with the Spanish Language Institute. The Education faculty seem well suited for their respective positions. Currently all faculty have current licensure and certification in the areas they advise and are active in writing and being awarded grants with a success rate of $85 \%$. This includes Dr. Ashmann's grant to establish summer science institutes for elementary school and pre-service teachers. The Education Program has a full-time staff member in charge of advisement prior to entry into their program and once in the program, all students are assigned an advisor and required to meet with him or her on a regular basis. The Education website is kept up to date and is also an excellent tool for advising. The Education Program is fortunate to have a steady stream of students applying for entry into their program and that most of the accepted students have a GPA of 3.0 or above in all licensure levels. Accessibility to coursework is diverse with both face-to-face and a number of on-line modes of delivery. Curriculum modification within the Education Program has been considerate to student needs. An example of this is the 'block' of primarily field-based and hands-on courses offered earlier in the students course of study helps students to come to the decision to 'self-select" out of the program, if they find that teaching is not for them. It is better for the student to know this early on.


Recommendations include the following:
The mission statement for Education refers to 'Cultivating the knowledge, skills and disposition necessary... and while in this review the term disposition is explained, it may be wise to consider a different word within the context of the mission statement.
We recommend continued and increased communication about Education Program updates, issues, and changes to students and the program chairs outside of Education in areas that have students who minor in Education.
The AAC requested additional clarification on the role of ESL and ELL and the direction that the Education Program is heading in. The response was that ELL/bilingual certification is the direction the program is heading due to the huge change in demographic in K-12 students in Green Bay and the surrounding area and the need for teachers who speak fluent Spanish and other languages. The Education will need to clean up references to ESL within its courses or at or least clarify the definitions within the curriculum.
The two-year old Green Bay Public School and UWGB 4K partnership that is currently housed at the Ecumenical Center is a good beginning to bringing observation and teaching opportunities to Education students. The AAC hopes that a suitable on-campus setting can be found.
The AAC recommends that Education, in its next program review, provide a written summary of the data tables that were provided and that the Education Program be more pro-active in requesting specific data (for example to find out more about at-risk areas in need of teachers, such as for math and science or the ask for more data to support the move to ELL as opposed to ESL)

Inclusion, the Education Program should be commended for continuing to reach and improve upon its mission and for paying attention to and incorporating cultural changes and advancements in teaching for the benefit of our future educators.

FROM: Derryl Block, Interim Dean, College of Professional and Graduate Studies
The program's mission statement is well aligned with UW-Green Bay's select mission. The programmatic descriptions in the catalog and on the website are clear, appear accurate, and are updated.

A clearly defined two step secondary admission process, with a block of early field based courses and mandatory advising of students throughout the process, helps ensure that students who achieve final admission will successfully complete the program and that programmatic resources are efficiently used. The accessible and mandatory in-house advising program is available from expression of first interest in the major or minor through program completion.

The curriculum includes a robust and well integrated practice component that builds on didactic $\cdot$ experiences focusing on teaching/learning and academic coursework in communication, arts, humanities, social studies, science, and mathematics. Teaching/learning practices are innovative and experiential with technology integrated throughout the c1111•iculum. Students use electronic po11folio to provide evidence of learning.

The Program has strong links with community stakeholders including potential employers and clinical placement sites. Strong support by the practice community is evidenced by connections with the. Institute for Learning Partnership, the Phuture Phoenix Program, placement of students in clinical sites, and employment of students. The Professional Program in Education has sufficient resources to handle the number of students itadmits. Secondary admission controls entry to the program and is itnpoltant because student demand currently exceeds capacity of the faculty and field placement sites.Resources include sufficient and well qualified faculty. Professional Program inEducation faculty and staff are active in research and scholarship.

The Program prepares Education students to work with a diverse student population. Field placements are made in schools that have a high proportion of diverse students, there are programmatic offerings from the Center for First Nations Studies, Education students participate inthe Phuture Phoenix program, and they have the availability of participation inthe innovative Cuernavaca EducationProgram.

The stringent approval process by the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction (DPI) sets in motion specific plans and activities for quality assurance, specifically in regard to ensuring that the program meets state requirements.

## Recommended Actions

- The Program should develop a plan for offering a portion of the program.in an online or hybrid format. Additional online and alternatively scheduled offerings will benefit students. Due to the increasing need and demand for teachers who speak Spanish, the Program should evaluate and begin planning the development of an English Language Learner (ELL)/bilingual licensure area.
- The Program should plan to increase the number of students and faculty who speak Spanish and/or are members of other underrepresented groups.
- The Program should consider development of licensure programs in high need areas (i.e., Special Education, Science, and Mathematics).
- The Program should increase international opportunities including student teaching and field setting opportunities.
- Due to the increasing need and demand for teachers who speak Spanish, the Program should continue to strengthen its encouragement of development of Spanish proficiency in its students.
- It is recommended that the Professional Program in Education should develop an Advisory Council to give input into curricular content and processes,
- The Program should change or clarify references to English as a Second Language (ESL) in courses.
- The Program should continue to increase partnerships with the local school district, such as the K4 program and/or development of a specific partnership agreement with one or more schools for intensive training/experiences.
- The Program should clarify assessment criteria and processes, including those involving the electronic poltfolio.
- The Professional Program in Education should communicate with the students and faculty in areas that have students who frequently major and minor in Education.
- The Program should provide a written summary of data tables provided in its next Program Review. - The Professional Program in Education should continue to encourage all faculty and staff to be active in scholarship and service.

I commend the faculty and staff of the Professional Program in Education for a solid program with excellent ties to the community. The Program's continual improvement efforts and development of the Phuture Phoenix Program, the Center for first Nations Studies, 4K on campus, the Cuernavaca Mexico Education Program, and the Thailand Collaboration are notable. Faculty and staff actively encourage the cultivation of the "knowledge, skills and dispositions that ground the discipline of teaching,"

