## Benchmark Assessment Results: Sustainability Perspectives 2014-16

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semester</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Enrollment</th>
<th>Assessments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2014</td>
<td>English 333, Section 0800 (online)</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2014</td>
<td>Env Sci 301, Section 0001</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2014</td>
<td>Pu En Af 102, Section 0001</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2015</td>
<td>FNS 210, Section 0001</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2015</td>
<td>Hum Biol 217, Section 1200/1800 (online)</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2015</td>
<td>Hum Biol 405, Section 0001</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2015</td>
<td>FNS 226, Section 0001</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2015</td>
<td>Nut Sci 250, Section 0001</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2015</td>
<td>Env Sci 460, Section 0001</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2016</td>
<td>Env Sci 260, Section 0001</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2016</td>
<td>Psych 390, Section 0001</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Over 75 for most items</th>
<th>Mixed</th>
<th>Under 75 for most items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Demonstrates a basic knowledge of sustainability
- Defines environmental sustainability  
  Number Rated: 349  
  Percent Yes: 92%
- Defines social sustainability  
  Number Rated: 208  
  Percent Yes: 89%
- Defines economic sustainability  
  Number Rated: 207  
  Percent Yes: 86%
- Recognizes sustainable principles and practice  
  Number Rated: 345  
  Percent Yes: 88%

### Recognizes the complexity and multi-faceted nature of sustainability
- Recognizes the interdependence of economic, social, and environmental spheres on sustainability  
  Number Rated: 267  
  Percent Yes: 82%
- Generalizes underlying principles of sustainability across contexts  
  Number Rated: 249  
  Percent Yes: 92%
- Identifies the social, economic, and technological limitations to implementing sustainable practice  
  Number Rated: 266  
  Percent Yes: 82%
- Recognizes the influence of spatial (local to global) scale on sustainability  
  Number Rated: 188  
  Percent Yes: 86%
- Recognizes the influence of temporal (historical to contemporary) scale on sustainability  
  Number Rated: 303  
  Percent Yes: 79%
- Recognizes that personal values influence views of sustainability  
  Number Rated: 303  
  Percent Yes: 86%

### Makes informed judgments
- Distinguishes between sustainable principles and practice versus non-sustainable principles and practice  
  Number Rated: 311  
  Percent Yes: 90%
- Weighs the short-term and long-term impact of decisions relating to sustainability  
  Number Rated: 270  
  Percent Yes: 85%
- Synthesizes knowledge and values (personal, religious, political, etc.) to inform decisions  
  Number Rated: 186  
  Percent Yes: 90%

### Integrates sustainability and social responsibility
- Recognizes the effect of personal choices on sustainability  
  Number Rated: 347  
  Percent Yes: 89%
- Articulates political solutions surrounding sustainability  
  Number Rated: 246  
  Percent Yes: 76%
- Demonstrates engagement in sustainable practices  
  Number Rated: 224  
  Percent Yes: 62%

6,208 items could have been assessed; 4,269 items (or 69%) were assessed. The percent scoring “Yes” across all 4,269 assessments was 85%.