SUFAC
Meeting Minutes for October 5th, 2006

I. Call to Order
SUFAC Assistant Secretary Chrissy Aurit started the meeting at 5:03 P.M.

II. Roll Call
a. Members Present: Chrissy Aurit, Tyler Vorpagel, Ngosong Fonkem, Ryan Wegner, Anton Williams, Eric Frank, Pha Thao

III. Recognition of Guests

IV. Approval of Agenda
Tyler moved to approve agenda. Anton seconded. Tyler called the question. Tyler called acclamation. Motion passed. Agenda is approved.

V. Approval of Minutes
Anton moved to approve minutes. Tyler seconded. Anton called the question. Anton called acclamation. Minutes are approved.

VI. Reports
a. OFO: Last week SGA was approved for a Leaderfest speaker for $1,000. In addition, Study Abroad was approved for a Welcome Back dinner, which brings the total to $28,578.43.

b. Senate: No report.

c. Assistant Secretary: Next week there will be no formal meeting and Matt will still be gone. We still need to meet though, so we can talk about training for auxiliary budgets. So there will be no business, but there will be an informational meeting. Bring your questions for auxiliary budgets, and you will be filled in next week.

d. Secretary: No report.

VII. Information Items
The new SUFAC by laws were explained and if you have any more questions, address them to Chrissy.

VIII. Discussion Items
a. International Club- A faculty advisor spoke for the current IC president and requested money for an International Dinner for a Dollar event, working in tandem with the Ecumenical Center. Tyler commented that SUFAC has allocated $10,351.41 and that the current agency balance for the IC is $887.51 Tyler wanted to know what the $887.51 was for. The IC said that most of the budget goes to the International Dinner but is also used for various projects, such as homemade care packages for new international students and a welcome dinner twice a year for new international students. The IC stated that the current funds are left for those purposes. Ryan asked if there were any other sources of income or revenue and also asked if the money raised is reused. The IC said that the money that is made from the International Dinner for a Dollar the EC keeps, citing it as cost for providing posters, plates, drinks, and location. The IC said it does not get any revenue from the event. Ryan followed up with an inquiry if absolutely any revenue is made. The IC then stated that they do receive $100 from the Office of International Education for organizing the event. Ryan asked if there were posters or banners advertising this dinner. The IC said that every board on campus will have a poster, every faculty member gets information, the event is co-sponsored with Wisconsin Public Radio, and in addition, RA’s get posters that go to the dorms. Ngosong asked if it was only open to students. The IC said it is geared to students but open to the community as a whole, but, from past experience, 99% is student attendance. Anton asked how long this has
been going on. The IC stated that International Week is a tradition, but last year was the first year they introduced food items. The IC said that last year they did desserts of the world but this year’s International Dinner for a Dollar will be a bigger event. Pha Thao asked how many students are expected to attend. The IC said it has 50 members and people preparing food, along with the Study Abroad Alumni Club. The IC said that the general attendance for an EC Dinner for a dollar is 150-200 for people, so the IC expects the same amount or more. Pha followed up with how many faculty and staff they expect to attend. The IC said they have no idea, but as long as guests are RSVPed they can attend. Erik asked if there was a date set. The IC said November 13th, at 5pm. Voting will take place on this item in 2 weeks.

IX. Action Items

a. CCTV Committed Request- Tyler motioned to move into a Committee of the Whole for a half hour. Anton seconded. Tyler called the question. No objections. Tyler called acclamation. Motion approved. SUFAC moved to Committee of the Whole for 30 minutes. Tyler wanted to be able to discuss the CCTV Committed Request line by line since it is a huge budget and wanted everyone to be aware that CCTV asked for approximately $10,000 of the total $30,000 allowed for allocation. Tyler believed the following were necessary: Fall & Spring studio time, blank media DVDs, $300 for duplicating, $100 for office supplies, $100 for award submissions and $200 training on studio equipment for a total of $4,600. Tyler suggested next year CCTV put the tripod and camera in their budget request. Tyler also stated that Channel 20 has a close relationship with CCTV so CCTV could coast for a year without their own personal camera, microphones, tripods and so forth. CCTV stated that CCTV no longer needs $200 for training. Pha agreed with Tyler, as did Anton. Anton stated that if CCTV can use equipment that already exists for free CCTV should utilize it. Tyler said though CCTV would make good use of camera and that CCTV needs the camera at some point, and that channel 20 is growing and less likely to have extra time for use of CCTV in the future, it is not an urgent need to give CCTV 1/3rd of total year budget for all student orgs. Straw Pull for Fall studio time passed. Ryan argued regarding the 500 GB hard drive, no need for such a large storage capacity hard drive and that the hard drive capacity should be cut in half. Pha agreed, stating that the DVDs paired with a hard drive, size was much too big. Tyler did not think SUFAC should give CCTV the recording hard drive now, since it is futile without a camera. Straw pull on hard drive did not pass. Regarding set materials, Ryan said CCTV is only doing three shows, and with that budget it would be $133 per show and that the set is used for the entire semester. Ryan added CCTV’s intentions were to do more outdoor filming to get away from set, so there is no need for a substantial amount for set materials. Tyler didn’t interpret it as more outdoor filming than indoor, but rather CCTV wanted to do shows and do on location filming such as interviews and integrate it into the show and so forth. Tyler recommended entire amount for set materials and that the new org deserves a chance. Straw Poll on set materials does not pass. Ryan proposed giving them half the amount. Ngosong agreed, stating they can learn management of funds. Ryan didn’t think of it as micromanaging, but as giving them a chance to try and make deadlines. Ryan added to show them that SUFAC will support, but obviously more support if CCTV meets deadlines such as budgets. Straw Poll for $200 to set materials passed. CCTV asked for $165 regarding basic stage makeup and props. Ngosong thought it a fair amount. Pha did not think CCTV needs that much money, believes it could be cut. Ryan concurred. Pha believed $100 a fairer amount. Ngosong wanted the amount to stay the same so the shows can stay high quality. Straw Pull for $165 to stage make-up passed. Straw poll on Fall studio time, media, duplicating, misc. office supplies, and award submission for a total of $2,200. Straw Poll passed. In regards to Spring studio time, Ryan recommended cutting sessions down, believed too many. Pha agreed to cutting session time to 8 for spring. Tyler didn’t think SUFAC should cut any time; CCTV requested 11 so they must have budgeted time for 11. Chrissy thought they probably wouldn’t of asked for those sessions unless they had plans for them. Ngosong believed they should get them, it is hard to get everything
started up and SUFAC doesn’t want to shortchange them, CCTV already have a goal in mind they are working towards. Tyler said CCTV have a set number of shows they have to budget their time for. Straw Poll for 11 Spring studio sessions passed and is added back into fees Tyler proposed. Straw Poll for $4,765 for Fall & Spring studio time, blank media, duplicating, misc. office supplies, set design for $200, props and award submissions passed. Tyler moved to exit committee of the Whole. Pha seconded. Tyler called the question. No objections to call to question. Ngosong called acclamation. SUFAC is no longer in Committee of Whole. Tyler moved to allocate CCTV $4,765. Ryan seconded. No objections. Motion passed 5-0-1.

b. Bowling Club Committed Request- Tyler moved to approve the Bowling Club in full. Anton seconded. Tyler believes it is a good organization that gets the UWGB name out there and is well attended by students. Ryan agreed, as did Pha. Tyler called the question. No objections to call to question. Motion passed 5-0-1.

c. Bowling Club Allocation Request- Tyler motioned to approve. Ryan seconded. Tyler stated he had no problem with this request, very cut and dry. No other discussion. Tyler called the question. No objections to call to question. Motion passed 5-0-1.

d. The Fourth Estate Committed Request- Tyler moved to approve. Ryan seconded. No discussion. Tyler called the question. No objections to call to question. Motion passed 5-0-1.

X. Announcements
Next week it will be an informational meeting, concentrating on auxiliary budgets.

XI. Adjournment
Tyler motioned to adjourn. Anton seconded. Ngosong called the question. No objections to call to question. Tyler called acclamation. Meeting adjourned at 6:15pm.

Respectfully Submitted by,

Joy Hanneman
SUFAC Administrative Assistant