

## LETTER OF EVALUATION M.S. IN CYBERSECURITY

Comments: (Limit 1500 Characters)

<u>Instructions for Submittal</u>
Applicants must fill out the first two sections of this form, save, and send to each evaluator. Each evaluator must fill out remaining sections and <u>send directly</u> to the Office of Graduate Studies, <u>gradstu@uwgb.edu</u> or Office of Graduate Studies, <u>2420</u> Nicolet Drive, Green Bay, WI 54311-7001.

APPLICANT SECTION:		
First Name	Middle Name	Last Name
access to their educational reco	Rights and Privacy Act of 1974, stude ords, including letters of evaluation. I	ents enrolled at the University of Wisconsin-Green Bay have However, students may waive their right to see letters of e applicant has not signed a waiver, they may request to see to decision is indicated below:
Applicant's Electronic Signatur	re	Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
Wisconsin-Green Bay. The info effort in completing this form.	ormation you share with us will be val No additional letter is necessary; hov	of Science in Cybersecurity program at the University of luable in making an admission decision. We appreciate your vever, you may attach a letter if you wish to do so. Questions can u. Please fill out this survey in its entirety.
EVALUATOR INFORMATION	ON:	
Full Name		
Employer		Title/Position
E-mail		Phone
Address		City
State		Zip Code
How long have you known the	applicant?	n what capacity have you known the applicant?

If you are/were the applicant's **work supervisor** or colleague, how would you rate their performance? (if not, please proceed to the next section)

	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	Poor
Initiative					
Dependability					
Quality of Work					
Overall Performance					

Comments:	(Limit 2000	Characters)
-----------	-------------	-------------

If you are/were the applicant's **instructor** or **academic advisor**, please list the courses that were enrolled in by the applicant. How would you rate their performance? Please provide details and examples.

	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	Poor
Initiative					
Dependability					
Quality of Work					
Overall Performance					

Comments: (Limit 2000 Characters)

		_				
The Master of Science in Cybersecurit completing the academic requiremen	ty is an online pr ts for an on-line	ogram. Do you graduate progra	see any major <b>c</b> am? (Limit 1500	c <b>hallenges</b> for t O Characters)	he applicant in	
Comparing the applicant to other ind	ividuals in the se	0.11.4.1			_	
Combaring the abblicant to other mo		ime field with a	nproximately th	ie same amount i	of experience an	d training.
please indicate your evaluation of this						
						nking. Unable to
	s applicant's abil	ity and professi	onal competenc	ee by marking the	e appropriate rai	nking.
please indicate your evaluation of this	s applicant's abil	ity and professi	onal competenc	ee by marking the	e appropriate rai	nking. Unable to
please indicate your evaluation of this  Knowledge in Subject Area	s applicant's abil	ity and professi	onal competenc	ee by marking the	e appropriate rai	nking. Unable to
please indicate your evaluation of this  Knowledge in Subject Area  Intellectual Capacity	s applicant's abil	ity and professi	onal competenc	ee by marking the	e appropriate rai	nking. Unable to
please indicate your evaluation of this  Knowledge in Subject Area  Intellectual Capacity  Originality/Creativity	s applicant's abil	ity and professi	onal competenc	ee by marking the	e appropriate rai	nking. Unable to
Please indicate your evaluation of this  Knowledge in Subject Area  Intellectual Capacity  Originality/Creativity  Mathematical Ability	s applicant's abil	ity and professi	onal competenc	ee by marking the	e appropriate rai	nking. Unable to
Knowledge in Subject Area Intellectual Capacity Originality/Creativity Mathematical Ability Technological Ability	s applicant's abil	ity and professi	onal competenc	ee by marking the	e appropriate rai	nking. Unable to
Knowledge in Subject Area Intellectual Capacity Originality/Creativity Mathematical Ability Technological Ability Logical/Analytical Ability	s applicant's abil	ity and professi	onal competenc	ee by marking the	e appropriate rai	nking. Unable to
Knowledge in Subject Area Intellectual Capacity Originality/Creativity Mathematical Ability Technological Ability Logical/Analytical Ability Written Communication Ability	s applicant's abil	ity and professi	onal competenc	ee by marking the	e appropriate rai	nking. Unable to
Knowledge in Subject Area Intellectual Capacity Originality/Creativity Mathematical Ability Technological Ability Logical/Analytical Ability Written Communication Ability Oral Communication Ability	s applicant's abil	ity and professi	onal competenc	ee by marking the	e appropriate rai	nking. Unable to
Knowledge in Subject Area Intellectual Capacity Originality/Creativity Mathematical Ability Technological Ability Logical/Analytical Ability Written Communication Ability Oral Communication Ability Maturity and Professionalism	s applicant's abil	ity and professi	onal competenc	ee by marking the	e appropriate rai	nking. Unable to
Knowledge in Subject Area Intellectual Capacity Originality/Creativity Mathematical Ability Technological Ability Logical/Analytical Ability Written Communication Ability Oral Communication Ability Maturity and Professionalism Leadership Ability	s applicant's abil	ity and professi	onal competenc	ee by marking the	e appropriate rai	nking. Unable to
Knowledge in Subject Area Intellectual Capacity Originality/Creativity Mathematical Ability Technological Ability Logical/Analytical Ability Written Communication Ability Oral Communication Ability Maturity and Professionalism Leadership Ability Ability to Work Collaboratively	s applicant's abil	ity and professi	onal competenc	ee by marking the	e appropriate rai	nking. Unable to
Knowledge in Subject Area Intellectual Capacity Originality/Creativity Mathematical Ability Technological Ability Logical/Analytical Ability Written Communication Ability Oral Communication Ability Maturity and Professionalism Leadership Ability Ability to Work Collaboratively Ability to Work Independently	s applicant's abil	ity and professi	onal competenc	ee by marking the	e appropriate rai	nking. Unable to

What do you consider the applicant's major **strengths** in terms of their ability to do graduate level work? (Limit 1500 Characters)

RECOMMENDATION FOR ADMISSION:	
Recommend Highly Recommend	
Recommend with Reservations	
Not Recommended	D 4 ( ( w w / 11 / w )
Evaluator's Electronic Signature	Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

Please indicate any other information relevant to the applicant's potential as a graduate student. (Limit 4000 Characters)