The minutes of 18 January 2006 were approved.

2) Information Exchange with Provost Hammersmith.
   - Code change approved by Senate last semester will go to Regents at their February meeting.
   - Provost asked if there were any room listing problems in the recent fall 2006 timetable draft. No concerns have been noticed.
   - The UC had sent their suggested committee member names for RAP (Review of Academic Programs) to the Provost in November 2005. The UC asked additional questions regarding:
     - Relationship between RAP and Provost’s Strategic Planning Committee.
     - Purview of RAP should, in addition to students and curriculum, include scholarship and service.
     - Process needs to be iterative to allow programs to review the whole after all programs complete their first draft.
     - The timeline for RAP seems overly optimistic. If the AAC review cycle takes five years, how can the entire LAS and Professional Programs be reviewed in less than one year? The goal is noble but will RAP know enough about programs.

3) Continuing Business

   a) New draft of proposed policy clarification concerning procedures for student’s complaints against faculty was discussed. Ken Fleurant was asked for his opinion. This new policy clarifies what is already on the Dean of Students website and is meant to help clarify for faculty and administration the proper procedure to be used for complaints not covered under UWGB Chapter 6 of the Faculty Handbook.
   b) Another draft of the Proposal for Code Change UWGB 3.09 Nonrenewal of Probationary Appointments was discussed.
   c) Evaluations of Administrators to be carried over to the next meeting due to lack of time.
   d) Sample new grids for University Schedule Change were distributed. Discussion to be carried over to the next meeting due to lack of time.

4) New Business

   - The UC made recommendations for the Committee on Rights & Responsibilities.

The meeting adjourned at 5:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Christine Style, secretary pro tempore