

MINUTES
UW Green Bay University Committee

Present:

Steven Meyer
Terence O'Grady
Laura Riddle
Kevin Roeder
Ricky Staley (SGA representative)
Dean Von Dras (Chair)

7 November 2007
3:15 pm, CL 825

Previous Meeting:
31 October 2007

Guests: Secretary of the Faculty and Academic Staff Cliff Abbott

1) The minutes of 31 October 2007 were approved.

2) Chair's Report

a) The Chair reported that the Chancellor's Cabinet seeks the support of the UC in developing a new format for annual service awards that will draw greater University-wide participation.

b) The Chair has distributed a memo to inform faculty of upcoming discussion of the effectiveness of the current Program Review process.

c) A reminder that members of the UC will meet with members of the Higher Learning Commission team on Monday, November 12, 2007, at 2:30 p.m. to discuss Criterion 3.

3) Information Exchange with Provost Hammersmith

The Provost was unavailable. No information was exchanged.

4) Continuing Business

a) Administrator Evaluations- There has been no formal response from administration on this matter. The Chancellor has indicated that the UC's document is currently under review and that further clarification was needed on the subject of written response to Administrator Reviews. Members of the UC believe that written response is essential to acknowledge the evaluation process, but that the scope of the written response is best left to the discretion of the individual under review.

b) Discussion of the proposed code changes to make the AAC and GEC committees of the Faculty Senate. There has been no formal response from the AAC or GEC. The UC reviewed proposed minor changes to Code sections 53 and 54 as recommended by the Secretary of Faculty and Academic Staff. These Code revisions will have a second reading and vote at the next Senate meeting.

c) The UC welcomed Professor Tim Meyer representing the Senate Budget and Planning Committee. Professor Meyer indicated that the SBP Committee will be meeting with the Deans and Provost to discuss how the Faculty might best have input into the University Budget and Planning processes. Encouraged by Provost Hammersmith's earlier thoughts on how faculty representation might be included in the University budget and planning process, the Senate Budget and Planning Committee has begun to solicit budgetary needs for academic programs from the Unit Chairs. The Senate BPC will develop a summary of requests to be submitted to the Provost and follow up to look for progress as the budget is developed. Questions raised by the Senate BPC include- What budget information is currently available to the committee? What budget information will not be available to the committee? Are formal minutes kept at University Budget and Planning meetings? The Senate BPC expects to have information for the Senate's December meeting.

d) Teaching Evaluation. Further discussion was postponed as Professor Noppe was not present to share her recent findings on the Rutgers standardized form.

5) New Business

The UC was joined by SOFAS Cliff Abbott to set the agenda for the November 14 Senate meeting

The next UC meeting will be November 28 at 3:15 pm in CL 825

The meeting adjourned at 5:20 pm.

Respectfully Submitted,

Laura Riddle, secretary pro tempore