

MINUTES
UW Green Bay University Committee

Present:

Forrest Baulieu
Gregory Davis
Sally Dresdow (Chair)
Scott Furlong
Terence O'Grady
Christine Style

1 March 2006
3:00 pm CL 825

Previous meeting:
22 February 2006

Lucy Arendt, Academic Staff Representative
Guest: Provost Hammersmith

- 1) The minutes of 22 February 2006 were approved with slight changes.
- 2) Information Exchange with Provost Hammersmith
 - a) The membership is now complete for the Comprehensive Program Review Committee.
 - b) The Academic Affairs Planning Committee has arrived at a list of goals and objectives to govern future deliberations. These will be shared with the various governance groups and also via open forums.
 - c) There are proposals on the horizon from the Registrar's office that would allow the listing of academic emphases on student transcripts. There was some discussion of whether emphases might be listed on diplomas as well and the Provost indicated she would check with the Registrar on this and related matters.
- 3) Continuing Business
 - a) Evaluation of administrators. The UC began to examine a new survey instrument for evaluating administrators that would have the advantage of being able to compare results nationwide. The survey also has the possibility of adding questions and the members agreed that that this was a useful feature to be taken advantage of. The committee agreed to examine the survey more closely and to renew discussion of it at the next meeting.
 - b) Update on UWS 7 addition to the UW System Administrative Code (regarding possible new "Disciplinary Processes for Serious Criminal Misconduct"). The committee agreed that at this point the UW-Madison response to the proposed changes seems to be the most productive. The faculty representatives meeting on Friday, March 3, 2006 will undoubtedly prove productive as to what if any unified responses from the various UW campuses are needed.

c) The wording on the “Proposed Policy Regarding Procedures in Response to Student Academic Complaints Made Against Faculty Members” was adjusted slightly in preparation for re-presentation in the next Senate meeting. No further changes appear to be indicated at this time for the proposed Code changes to UWGB 3.08 and 3.09 (regarding review procedures and the providing of reasons for renewal and promotion at all ranks).

4. New Business

a) The “General Education Talking Points” submitted by Brian Sutton dealing with the future of interdisciplinary at UW-Green Bay were discussed. It was agreed that, regardless of whether one agrees with Prof. Sutton’s conclusions, this would be an interesting issue to bring before the Senate.

b) Request from AAC for Code Interpretation regarding the dean’s ability to reject a recommendation from the AAC concerning the approval of a particular course. The UC agreed that there was no question but that the AAC’s authority in this case was only to make a recommendation on such matters to the dean who, acting upon the delegated authority of the Provost, has the power of final decisions. On the other hand, the UC regretted the fact that a more extensive exchange of information and a greater degree of collaboration had not taken place between the dean and the AAC on this matter since that would seem to be within the spirit of our shared governance traditions at UW-Green Bay.

c) The Global Studies Minor Proposal will go forward to the Senate as a discussion item in the next Senate meeting.

d) Academic Staff representative Lucy Arendt proposed to the UC the establishment of a campuswide committee with membership drawing from faculty academic staff, classified staff and students that would concern itself with community building and climate issues. The UC agreed to discuss the idea at great length in the future.

The next UC meeting will be March 8 at 3:00.

The meeting adjourned at 5:10 pm.

Respectfully submitted,
Terence O’Grady, secretary pro tempore